# DETERMINANTS OF ADVERSE PREGNANCY OUTCOMES AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN ATTENDING ANTENATAL CARE IN PUBLIC HEALTH FACILITIES AT NYANDO SUB-COUNTY, KISUMU, KENYA

BY

# MARY KUIR KUOL

# A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER IN PUBLIC HEALTH (EPIDEMIOLOGY AND POPULATION HEALTH)

# SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

MASENO UNIVERSITY

©2024

#### DECLARATION

This is my original work and has not been presented for an award of a Degree or Diploma in any other university or institution.

#### Mary Kuir Kual

ESM/07091/019

Sign..... Date 14-05-2024

#### **Supervisors' Approval**

This proposal has been submitted for examination with our approval as the university supervisors

## Dr. Peter Omemo PhD

Department of Public Health Maseno University

Sign..... Date.....

Dr. Edna Nyang'echi PhD Department of Public Health Maseno University

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to acknowledge Maseno University for granting me this opportunity to conduct this study, special thanks to Dr. Paul Kosiyo for helping me in analysis, and not forgetting my awesome supervisors, Dr. Omemo and Dr. Edna for their tireless energy put on this paper for it successful completion.

#### **DEDICATION**

This dissertation I dedicated it to my Almighty God who have always help me when in needs. To my dear husband Dr. Akech David and our three kids (Ebenezer Deng-wiir, Nightingale Ayen and Sabbata Amuor) for being source of support in every way. To my mother Tabitha Yar and my siblings, Deborah Ngiewei, David Ajang and his wife Deborah Aluong and Daniel Deng for their constants prayers and assurance to complete this task. This is our work family, we did it together.

#### ABSTRACT

Globally, more than half a million women die in childbirth. Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for nearly 66% (196,000) of the global maternal deaths. In Kenya, the maternal mortality ratio stands at 355 deaths per 100,000 live births. In Kisumu County maternal mortality rate stands at 495 per 100,000 live births per year. Nyando Sub-County has the second-worst record of adverse pregnancy outcomes. This study intends to establish the determinants of these adverse pregnancy outcomes. Adverse pregnancy outcomes are those pregnancy outcomes other than normal live birth including socio-demographic factors such as the age of the mother, educational level, level of income, and place of residence. Obstetric factors such as the previous history of adverse birth outcome, antepartum hemorrhage, and history of abortion, gestational age, pregnancy interval, obstetric complications, and the number of parities associated with adverse birth outcome. And cultural factors such as Food Taboos, smoking, and Traditional Birth Attendance (TBAs). The broad objective of this study is to assess the determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes among women attending an antenatal care clinic at public health facilities in Nyando Sub-County Kisumu County, Kenya. Specifically, the study determines the Obstetric factors that influence adverse pregnancy outcomes among women; assess the cultural factors that influence adverse pregnancy outcomes; assess the influence of socio-economic status on adverse pregnancy outcomes: establish the influence of ANC visits on adverse pregnancy outcomes among women attending antenatal care clinic in public health facilities in Nyando sub-county Kisumu County, Kenya. There was an analytical cross-sectional study design conducted in Nyando sub-County. Randomly generated numbers facilitated the selection procedure using Microsoft Excel and were estimated to be 301 participants according to the calculated sample size. Selection based on the lineup in the cue and with special consideration for only those who visited ANC twice or more. An interview was administered to pregnant women who have come for an ANC visit. This study shows 49.7% of adverse pregnancy outcomes. The chi-square test was used to test for significance and risk associated with a determinant of adverse pregnancy outcome. Chisquare analysis showed that<15 years of age was associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes (p=0.001) (Table1). Logistic regression analysis was used to establish the influence of the ANC clinic on adverse pregnancy outcomes (Using 3 visits as the reference group, the study did not find any association with the number of visits OR (0.36-1.26), p=0.314). Through this research, the community will further realize the important of promoting hospital visits, especially ANC services for para >5 pregnant women to avoid adverse pregnancy outcomes since this finding reveal an association with adverse pregnancy outcomes in this particular group. Results will be disseminated to various health facilities where this research was conducted, Nyando Sub-County Kisumu, and to Maseno university school of graduate studies.

DECLARATIONii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
DEDICATION iv
ABSTRACTv
TABLE OF CONTENT
LIST OF ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS ix
OPERATIONAL DEFINITION OF THE TERMS x
LIST OF TABLES xi
LIST OF FIGURES xii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background of Study
1.2 Statement of the Problem
1.3 Justification
1.4 Research Objectives
1.4.1 Broad OBJECTIVE
1.4.2 Specific Objectives
1.5 Research Questions
1.6 Significant of Study
1.7 Scope of Study
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Obstetric factors affecting adverse pregnancy outcomes in pregnant women
2.2 Cultural Factor that Contributes to Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
2.3 Influence of Socio-Economic Status on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
2.4 Influence of Antenatal Care Visit on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
2.5 Summary of Literature Review
2.6 Theoretical Framework
2.7 Conceptual Framework
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY 14
3.1 Study Area
3.2 Research Design

# TABLE OF CONTENT

3.3 Target Population	4
3.4 Population of the Research	4
3.5 Sample size determination	5
3.6 Sampling Techniques	5
3.7 Inclusion and Exclusion	6
3.7.1: Inclusion Criteria 1	6
3.7.2 Exclusion Criteria 1	6
3.8 Data Collection Procedure	6
3.8.1 Questionnaire	6
3.8.2 Interview Schedule	6
3.9 Data Collection Process	7
3.9.1 Data Collection per Objectives 1	7
3.10 Pre-test	8
3.11: Validity of Instruments	8
3.12 Reliability of the Instrument	9
3.13 Data Analysis	9
3.13.1 Data Processing and Statistical Analysis	9
3.13.2 Ethical Approval 1	9
3.13.3 Ethical Considerations	0
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS	1
4.1 Characteristics of socio demographic of research participants	.1
4.2 Obstetric factors influencing adverse pregnancy outcomes of women in public antenatal care	•
hospitals2	2
4.3 Cultural factors influencing the negative outcome of pregnancy in women who go to pre-	
natal clinics	4
4.4 Socio-economic Status on Adverse Pregnancy Outcome in Women Visiting ANC Clinic 2	5
4.5 The influence of ANC visit on adverse pregnancy outcomes in women attending ANC care	
clinics	5
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION	7
5.1 Influence of Obstetric Factors on Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinics	7

APPENDICES	лз
REFFERENCES	35
6.4 Recommendations	34
6.3 Conclusion	33
6.2 Limitations	33
6.1 Summary of the Study	32
RECOMMENDATIONS	32
CHAPTER SIX: SUMMARY OF THE STUDY, LIMITATIONS, COMMENTS AND	
5.4 Influence of ANC Visits on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes	30
attending ANC Care Clinic	29
5.3 Influence of Socio-Economic Status on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes Among Women	
Clinics	29
5.2 Cultural Factors Affecting Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes in Women Attending ANC Care	

# LIST OF ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS

ANC	:	Antenatal Care
APA	:	American psychological association
APO	:	Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
CDC	:	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
COVID-19	:	Coronavirus Pandemic
GDM	:	Gestational Diabetes Mellitus
HDP	:	Hypertensive Disorder of Pregnancy
IUFD	:	Intrauterine Fetal Death
KCMCH	:	Kisumu County Maternal and Child Health Report
KDHS	:	Kenya Demographic Health Survey
LBW	:	Low Birth Weight
LCHD	:	Life Course Heart Development
MMEIG	:	Maternal Mortality Inter-Agency Working Group
MOH	:	Ministry of Health
MPDSR	:	Maternal and Postnatal Death Surveillance Response
MMR	:	Maternal Mortality Ratio
NMHR	:	Nyando Maternal Health Report
PIH	:	Pregnancy Induce Hypertension
PNC	:	Postnatal Care
PPH	:	Postpartum Hemorrhage
SDG	:	Sustainable Development Goals
SIDS	:	Sudden Infant Death Syndrome
SPSS	:	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
TBS	:	Traditional Birth Attendant
UNFPA	:	United Nation Population Fund
UNICEF	:	United Nation Children Fund
WHO	:	World Health Organization

#### **OPERATIONAL DEFINITION OF THE TERMS**

**Pregnancy:** is the term used to describe the period in which a fetus develops inside a woman's womb or uterus.

**ANC**: Is a period were a pregnant woman is offered appointments with a midwife, or sometimes a doctor who specializes in pregnancy and birth (an obstetrician).

**Pregnancy outcomes:** refer to life events that occur to the newborn infant from the age of viability (28 weeks) to the first week of life.

Adverse pregnancy outcomes: are those pregnancy outcomes other than normal live birth which majorly includes preterm birth, stillbirth and low birth weight which are the major cause of neonatal morbidity, mortality and long term physical and psychological problems

**Fetal programming:** also known as pre-natal programming, is the theory that environmental cues experienced during fetal development play a seminal role in determining health trajectories across the lifespan.

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Research Participants	22
Table 4.2: Obstetric factors influencing adverse pregnancy outcomes of women in public	
antenatal care hospitals	23
Table 4.3: Cultural factors influencing the negative outcome of pregnancy among women	
attending ANC clinic	24
Table 4.4: where you normally seek medication	24
Table 4.5: Socio-economic status on adverse pregnancy outcomes among women attending	
antenatal care clinic	25
Table 4.6: ANC visits on pregnancy outcome among women attending antenatal clinic	26

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: conceptual	framework: ada	pted from Tamirat et a	l. 20211	3
------------------------	----------------	------------------------	----------	---

# CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of Study

Suitable carefulness throughout pregnancy and birth is significant for the well-being of the mother and child KDHS (2014). Adverse pregnancy outcomes refer to gestation results other than vaginal birth, which include premature birth, miscarriage, and low birth weight, which are main causes of illness, death, and lasting physical and mental problems in children (Yeshialemet, 2017 & Vibal, 2017). 2014).

Adverse pregnancy outcomes are a multifaceted health problem that affects both the pregnancy and the baby. Babies with one or more adverse birth outcomes face a higher risk of death and a diversity of health and growing problems. Features such as maternal age, diabetes, miscarriage history, gestational age, anemia, and maternal malnutrition predispose mothers to adverse outcomes (Abadiga et al., 2020). Pregnancy typically takes about 40 weeks, or fair completed 9 months, from conception to birth. Healthcare call the three stages of pregnancy trimesters (Shriver, 2017).

Gestation results vary from pregnancy to pregnancy and include: normal birth, low birth weight, preterm birth, stillbirth, death in utero, premature death (Eyosiyas et al., 2017). Operational factors of adverse pregnancy results include: maternal age (Asiki et al., 2015), education (Kebede et al., 2018), social factors such as income level and residence are associated with worse outcomes (Kent et al., 2013). Obstetric factors such as history of low birth weight, history of prenatal hemorrhage and miscarriage, gestational age, interpregnancy interval, number of births associated with obstetric complications and side effects (Chaibva et al., 2019). According to a study conducted in South Korea, pregnant women with low SES were found to have worse birth outcomes due to inadequate prenatal care (Lee SH et al., 2018), having chronic diseases at the time, and other factors such as high blood pressure. Pregnancy, anemia, lack of nutrition education, lack of care during pregnancy (Hailemichael et al., 2020), substance use (Amaya et al., 2019) and malaria are also affected with negative consequences (Mikomangwa et al., 2019). Other factors associated with poor pregnancy outcomes include maternal obesity, poor parenting, and poor genetics (Aishatu and Sadiq, 2019). According to the SGS 3.1 2030 target, more than 500,000 female deaths due to childbirth worldwide occur in developing countries, with nearly

half of these occurring in sub-Saharan Africa (Statistics South Africa). 2017). The World Health Organization (WHO, 2019) reports that approximately 810 women die every day worldwide due to inadequate protection during pregnancy, childbirth, or the postpartum period.

According to World Health Organization data, more than 295,000 women died during and after pregnancy and birth in 2017. The majority of deaths (94%) occur in low- and middle-income countries such as Kenya. Approximately 66% (196,000) of maternal deaths worldwide occur in sub-Saharan Africa, while South Asia accounts for approximately 20% (58,000). There were 1,222 maternal deaths in South Africa in 2017; this includes 181 deaths in Limpopo, 5 unknowns. Of these, 28 died (South African Department of Health, 2018). Premature birth causes 1 million infant deaths every year, and approximately 15 million babies are born before the 37th week of gestation every year worldwide (World Health Organization, 2018). Most deaths occur in sub-Saharan Africa (Blencowe et al., 2015). Low birth weight (LBW) remains a significant public health problem worldwide, accounting for approximately 15% to 20% of low birth weight worldwide (UNICEF, 2014). The risk factors for these negative outcomes are many (Arsenault, 2018). The virus-19 (COVID-19) pandemic is expected to cause fertility problems all over the world. WHO-China Joint Investigation Team on Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia (2019).

The maternal mortality rate (number of women dying during childbirth) in Kenya is 355 deaths per 100,000 live births. Considering the current number of births occurring each year, this means that approximately 5,000 women and girls die each year due to complications of pregnancy and birth. While access to antenatal care has increased from 62% to nearly 70% over the past seven years, more than 80% of maternal deaths are due to inadequate care (UNFPA, 2021). Nyanza's MMR is above the national average. In Nyanza alone, there are approximately 1,300 to 2,000 deaths per 100,000 births. Kenya has the highest maternal mortality rate at 14.9% and the lowest hospitalization rate at 26% (Nyaberi and Mosinya, 2018)

#### **1.2 Statement of the Problem**

SDG 3.1 aims to reduce the global maternal mortality ratio (MMR) to below 70 by 2030 and reduce the number of deaths per 100,000 live births in countries with fewer than 140 parental deaths. Maternal Mortality Inter-Agency Task Force (MMEIG) studies show that Kenya's maternal mortality rate (MMR) decreased from 353 per 100,000 births in 2015 to 342 in 2017. There are high reports of neonatal mortality rate of approximately 19.6 deaths per 1000 live

births and 11.5 low birth weight babies per 100 live births reported in 2018. Although Kenya has met its Sustainable Development Goal death target, the country is still failing. There are large regional differences in maternal and child mortality rates across 47 counties. In Kisumu County, which has a maternal mortality rate of 495 per 100,000 live births, well above the national average, progress has been made since the county received and published the National Guidelines on Maternal and Perinatal Deaths Message Response (MPDSR) in 2016. but prenatal remained high at 361 in 2021 compared to 92 in 2020. Nyando Sub-County has the second-worst record (54.4% cases in 2019 and 90.4% cases in 2020) of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Kisumu County, following Kisumu Central Sub-county as the leading in adverse pregnancy outcomes. However, despite how the nation has improved in skilled birth attendance Nyando is not among the listed counties of Kenya. And Maternal Mortality is off track as per the 2019 report, maternal deaths are increasing. This study aims to identify determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes.

#### **1.3 Justification**

The use of special services for mothers is important for the development of mothers, fetuses and newborns (Riangâa et al., 2018). It is estimated that 90% of maternal deaths during pregnancy can be prevented by timely medical intervention during antenatal care (ANC), emergency child care, abortion, and birth and postpartum care (PNC). For this reason, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that at least 4 ANC examinations be performed, postnatal check-ups should be performed within 2 days after birth, all births should be performed under the supervision of doctors, and ANC should be started as soon as the woman gives birth. Use simple interventions to benefit during pregnancy (World Health Organization, 2015). According to the MOH of Kisumu County, Nyando Sub-County has increased cases of poor maternal outcomes reports. And this mark it as the second highest in records (544 cases in 2019, 904 in 2020, and 714 in 2021) of adverse pregnancy outcome in the whole of Kisumu County (KCMCH, 2021), despite how the nation has improved in skilled birth attendance Nyando is not among the listed counties of Kenya. And Maternal Mortality is off track as per the 2019 report (Kenya progress report on Health and Health-related SDGS 2020). There is slight confirmation that the situation will improve in the near future, as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Population Fund today set five key targets to help countries recover to reduce and track maternal deaths. Death. Progress towards sustainable development goals. WHO supports quality

services for mothers and newborns, particularly through guidance and support for national staff, and has developed brief guidelines on newborn care, including guidelines for infant and child care and Guidelines for postnatal care (World Health Organization, 2021).

#### **1.4 Research Objectives**

#### **1.4.1 Broad OBJECTIVE**

To assess determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes in women visiting antenatal clinic in public health facilities in Nyando sub-county, Kenya

#### **1.4.2 Specific Objectives**

- i. To identify obstetric factors affecting adverse pregnancy outcomes in women at the antenatal clinic of Nyando District Public Health Facility, Kisumu.
- Evaluation of cultural influences on adverse pregnancy outcomes in women at the Nyando County Public Health Facility antenatal clinic, Kisumu County, Kenya
- iii. To evaluate the impact of healthcare services on adverse pregnancy outcomes among women attending the antenatal clinic at Nyando County Public Health Facility, Kisumu County, Kenya.
- iv. To evaluate the impact of ANC participation on adverse pregnancy outcomes among women attending the antenatal clinic at Nyando County Public Health Facility, Kisumu County, Kenya.

#### **1.5 Research Questions**

- i. What are the reproductive factors that influence adverse pregnancy outcomes among women attending the antenatal clinic at Nyando District Public Health Facility in Kisumu?
- ii. What cultural practices contribute to adverse pregnancy outcomes among women attending the antenatal clinic at Nyando District Public Health Facility in Kisumu?
- iii. How does the health status of women attending the antenatal clinic at Nyando District Public Health Facility in Kisumu influence adverse pregnancy outcomes?
- iv. What is the impact of ANC participation on adverse pregnancy outcomes among women attending the antenatal clinic at Nyando District Public Health Facility in Kisumu?

#### **1.6 Significant of Study**

Through this study, the findings are expected to provide real-time information and operational evidence on determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes faced by pregnant women during their pregnancy journey at Nyando Sub-County Kisumu. Through this research, the community will further realize promoting hospital visits, especially ANC services for pregnant women to avoid adverse pregnancy outcomes. The information derived may be useful to the stakeholders dealing with SDGS 3.1 and to MOH, particularly Nyando Sub-County and Kisumu Counties. With results in hand, health workers will enhance the early uptake of ANC services by implementing policies and strategies for timely initiation to prevent adverse pregnancy outcomes. Furthermore, the analysis presented will act as a tool for future reference and discussion on the determinant of adverse pregnancy outcomes.

#### 1.7 Scope of Study

This study focuses on determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes. The study was conducted within the health facilities in Nyando Sub County. Prospective respondents for the study were pregnant women.

# CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Obstetric factors affecting adverse pregnancy outcomes in pregnant womenObstetric factors include: gestational diabetes (GDM), hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (HDP), postpartum hemorrhage (PPH), cesarean section, prematurity and maternal age (<15 and >35 years) (Tamirat et al., 2021).

The World Health Organization reported that newborn deaths worldwide decreased several times in 2016. There are still estimates of 2.7 million infant deaths and 2.6 million stillbirths each year, although there have been about a dozen in the past two years; Many of these are preventable and crucial by providing well-informed, evidence-based interventions before and during pregnancy and during pregnancy and birth duration of protection and days after birth (World Health Organization, 2016). Approximately 84% of new births occur in low- and middle-income countries, including sub-Saharan Africa, where maternal health services are limited. There are also psychological costs of childbearing for women and their families, such as parental stress, economic and financial consequences, stigma, and restrictions (Tamirat et al., 2021). According to studies conducted in Asia, women diagnosed with gestational diabetes (GDM) during pregnancy have been found to be at risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as increased need for caesarean section (caesarean section) delivery, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. (HDP) and increasing age (Harling et al., 2014).

In sub-Saharan Africa, teenage pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes such as preterm birth, low birth weight (LBW), perinatal death, difficulty in labor, and maternal death (Fernando et al., 2015). Ghailani noted that age is a factor in poor pregnancy outcomes, with older women (35 and older) being associated with increased maternal risk of fertility problems and poor pregnancy outcomes, and some studies showing conflicting results about parenting. Specific influences on age and negative aspects of organizational power (Ghailani and Gowri, 2019).

A study by Ukba A showed that overall adverse pregnancy outcomes were 64.6% and 37.8% for older mothers and older mothers respectively, with a significant difference between the two groups. Studies have shown that women's older age is associated with more adverse pregnancy

outcomes. One of the main findings of this study is the relationship between maternal age and pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH) (Ukba A and Wolde M, 2020). In a maternal emergency, any delay in finding and receiving child care from qualified personnel increases the risk of birth, infant, or maternal death. In some emergency situations, such as diabetes, even a few hours will determine the life or death of the mother and the fetus (Ehiri et al., 2018).

#### 2.2 Cultural Factor that Contributes to Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Cultural factors are identified as, Food Taboos, Smoking, and traditional Birth Attendance (TBAs) according to (Tamirat et al, 2021).

Globally, each year, there were 6 million pregnancies in developed countries, of which 2.2 million result in miscarriage, stillbirth, or termination of pregnancy; approximately 500,000 children were born prematurely; Approximately 120,000 children are born perfect due to age and genetics., health, nutrition, culture, healthcare, and environmental influences all affect the ability conceive. and give birth to healthy child (CDC, 2021). to carry, a Patriarchy is important in most societies in Sub-Saharan Africa, with men being the main decision-makers, It directly or indirectly affects women's access and use of health services (Aarnio et al., 2018). Conversely, women must endure traditional practices and expectations that lead to poor health (Mkandawire et al., 2018).

Cultural practices have been found to influence decisions in South Africa (Maputle et al., 2015); for example, not telling others the status of the pregnancy or the week of pregnancy because it will result in miscarriage. ? According to tradition, high smoking among young women not only indicates a risk associated with a bad pregnancy, but smoking during pregnancy can lead to serious problems and your baby will be born prematurely, be born prematurely, or die from sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) (CDC, 2017). In Western Ghana, some pregnant women refuse to eat healthy food because they consider certain foods to be forbidden according to their culture Foods such as eggs, some types of fish, fatty meat and some vegetables are banned by women out of fear Avoid fetal malformations, miscarriages and obese children - ( Midwife) (Joseph Adu, 2020).

In Kenya, culture has been identified as a contributing factor to the decline in fertility in coastal Kenya: More than half (50.5%) of women in the region are said to not have a contract of accession in the first two days of life after birth (Kenya National Population and Development Commission, 2016). This may be related to local laws requiring women to self-isolate for the first forty days after birth to prevent the possibility of "bad looks". Reportedly, while nearly all male participants (97.2%) felt responsible for making decisions about their health, only three-quarters (76%) of female mothers had the ability to do so (Vernon et al., 2020) Among homeborns, beach. The majority of those in the state (36.1%) believe that giving birth in a hospital is not important. Accordingly, the state has the lowest rate of women giving birth at home (32.5 percent) due to health facilities being too far away or lack of transportation. Few people (14.3%) said their home deliveries were affected by financial concerns (Wanje et al., 2020).

#### 2.3 Influence of Socio-Economic Status on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Social variables such as women's education and place of residence have been shown to predict adverse pregnancy outcomes (Kaule-sabiti, Acheampong, & Ngake, 2014); Rahman, 2009; Kulkarni and Nimbalkar, 2008; Baral, Lyons, Skinner, & VanTeijlingen, 2012). Similarly, economic variables such as family wealth have also been found to predict ANC use (Jat, Nag, & Sebastian, 2011). Therefore, these findings show that the use or non-use of products affects the female population socially and economically on the safety of mothers and children during important periods of life, such as pregnancy, pre-pregnancy and poverty during pregnancy. and the financial and psychological effects of low income) harm the mother's physical and mental health, affect the development of the fetus, and increase the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes such as stillbirth or preterm birth (Cheng et al., 2016). Measures to improve family health and children's health as quickly as possible can help break the cycle of health inequality (Cheng et al., 2016; Sow et al., 2018). Globally, economic inequality affects women, affecting their health and the survival of their children (Marmot M, 2020). Women, especially single mothers, have the greatest inequality in terms of income and health standards and are more likely to live in foodinsecure households, another measure of poverty (O'Connell et al., 2018). Pregnant women of lower socioeconomic status are at increased risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes in sub-Saharan Africa. Previous studies have shown that low SES is associated with complications in pregnancy, such as miscarriage, preterm birth, preeclampsia, eclampsia, and gestational diabetes (Kim et al.,

2018). During pregnancy, an inadequate diet lacking essential nutrients such as iodine, iron, folate, calcium and zinc can cause anemia, preeclampsia, bleeding and maternal death. They can also cause infertility, low birth weight, weight loss, and growth failure in children. UNICEF (2020) estimates that low birth weight affects more than 20 million newborns each year. Malnutrition during this period can also lead to reactivation of intestinal tissue, which is associated with an increased risk of non-communicable diseases in adults (Danielewicz et al., 2017). Inequality and cultural practices may limit women's ability to make decisions about non-communicable diseases. their food and care. In many countries, women have a diet that does not include fruits, vegetables, dairy products, fish and meat. During this period, malnutrition makes it difficult for mothers to shop for food and meet their additional nutritional needs (UNICEF. 2020). According to Kenya (Chimaraoke 2010), research identifies poor urban women's direct perception of the relationship between poor economic conditions and poor maternal outcomes in Kenya. countries are often affected by poor maternal outcomes. Another study showed that the female mortality rate was 706 deaths per 100,000 people (Ziraba et al., 2009).

#### 2.4 Influence of Antenatal Care Visit on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Antenatal care (ANC) is an indicator of access to and utilization of healthcare services during pregnancy. The childbearing period provides pregnant women with the opportunity to make a significant impact on the health and well-being of the mother and her child. Receiving at least four prenatal care sessions increases the likelihood of receiving maternal health care during pregnancy. This is one of the lessons of the Monitoring of the Global Strategy on Women, Children and Adolescent Health (2016-2030) and one of the global health indicators of healthcare (SDG indicator 3.8.1) (World Health Organization 2022). Antenatal Care (ANC) is the cornerstone of maternal and child health. The main goal of ANC is to have a healthy mother and a healthy baby at the end of pregnancy. Research has shown that mothers who do not receive effective ANC are at greater risk for low birth weight and that there is a strong correlation between infant death and ANC (Acharya, 2016). The health benefits of ANC for pregnant women and their fetuses are recognized and effective (Tonal et al., 2017).

Worldwide, 86% of pregnant women receive at least one program from a doctor, while only twothirds (65%) take at least four programs to see a doctor. In regions with the highest maternal mortality rates, such as Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, fewer women consulted a doctor at least four times (52% and 49% respectively) (UNICEF, 2017). According to the 2017 report of the World Health Organization, approximately 810 women die every day due to preventable diseases related to pregnancy and birth. That year alone, approximately 295,000 women died during and after pregnancy, as well as from complications during childbirth. The majority of deaths (94%) occurred in low-lying areas. Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia account for approximately 86% (254,000) of maternal deaths worldwide. Sub-Saharan Africa alone accounted for two-thirds (196,000) of deaths. South Asia accounts for approximately one fifth (58,000) (World Health Organization, 2018).

In 2017, Kenya experienced a high maternal mortality rate, with 362 deaths per 100,000 live births (KDHS, 2015). A maternal mortality rate of 300 or more per 100,000 births is considered high (Gitonga E, 2017). More than 95% of pregnant women in Kenya receive at least one ANC service from a doctor, and only 58% receive four ANC visits. Worldwide, 64% of pregnant women attending ANC visits who experienced adverse pregnancy outcomes between 2007 and 2014 attended at least four ANC-certified visits, and 78% of births were delivered by participating health professionals (WHO, 2017). Approximately 810 women died every day from contraception and birth control in 2017. That year alone, approximately 295,000 women died during and after pregnancy, as well as from complications during childbirth. The majority of deaths (94%) occurred in low-lying areas. Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia account for approximately 86% (254,000) of maternal deaths worldwide. Sub-Saharan Africa alone accounted for two-thirds (196,000) of deaths. South Asia accounts for approximately one fifth (58,000). In 2017, Kenya had a high maternal mortality rate, with 362 deaths per 100,000 live births. Maternal deaths of 300 or more per 100,000 births are considered high. Approximately 810 women died every day from contraception and birth control in 2017.

#### 2.5 Summary of Literature Review

In this world, factors such as maternal age, prenatal bleeding, history of miscarriage and adverse events related to gestational age are still important to the public does not have easy access. Although the use of healthcare facilities is limited in China (Muktar et al., 2020), diabetes and maternal malnutrition lead to adverse pregnancy outcomes for the mother and unborn babies. Approximately 3 million third-trimester stillbirths occur each year, and a similar number of children die within 28 days of birth (Hannah et al., 2016). However, the mother's death is not

surprising. In 2017, approximately 295,000 women died during and after pregnancy and childbirth. The majority (94%) of these occur in low-risk settings and most deaths are preventable (World Health Organization, 2019). The burden of negative outcomes is high in Ethiopia (Gedefaw et al., 2020). Each year, approximately 320,000 babies are born before the 37th week of gestation (Vogel et al., 2016). A 2014 study in Ethiopia showed that low fertility was responsible for 27,243 deaths, accounting for 4.53% of all deaths (Bililign, 2018). In Kenya, 56% of infant deaths occur in the first month of life. At the same time, the neonatal mortality rate is 22 deaths per 1000 live births, and the postnatal mortality rate is 16 deaths per 1000 live births. The infant mortality rate is 39 deaths per 1000 live births, and the under-5 mortality rate is 52 deaths per 1000 live births. This means that approximately 1 in 26 children born in Kenya will die before age 1 and 1 in 19 will not survive to age 5 (KDH, 2014).

#### **2.6 Theoretical Framework**

Determinants of adverse pregnancy outcomes percentage is investigated using the theoretical framework of Fetal Programming (FP) and Life Course Healthy Development (LCHD). Fetal programming theory, also known as prenatal programming, proposes that environmental cues experienced during pregnancy or fetal development play an important role in determining lifelong health. (Nicoletto et al., 2011).

The three main types of activity resulting from changes in the maternal environment are: Developmental changes that increase the risk of infection; Genetic changes alter the risk of infection; Epigenetic changes that change not only the child's risk of disease, but also the risk of subsequent infection - for example, after starvation, offspring of pregnant women are born smaller than before, during famine, even if there is insufficient food. These changes in the maternal environment may be due to changes in diet, hormonal changes, or exposure to toxins (Fleming and Velazquez, 2012).

British epidemiologist Barker first put forward this theory, called the "Barker hypothesis", which states that changes in this important period will cause some diseases in the child's stomach after birth (Barker DJ, 1998). This critical period coincides with the time when cells differentiate rapidly (Widdowson et al., 1975). The "Barker hypothesis" proposes that many organs and functions are involved in work during embryonic and fetal life, which in turn determines the

composition of the body and the metabolic response to the individual. Therefore, any stimulus or event during the critical period of embryonic and fetal development can cause developmental changes that produce permanent physical and metabolic changes that predispose humans to cardiovascular, metabolic, and endocrine diseases in the elderly (Eun & Young, 2017).

#### **2.7 Conceptual Framework**

Research by the Canadian Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology Committee suggests that all pregnant women over the age of 35 should be screened for fetal aneuploidy and undergo ultrasound as their offspring are at risk. Good luck with the birth (Mais A 2015). There is an increased incidence of premature birth, preeclampsia, hypertension, gestational diabetes, increased maternal and fetal death, and cesarean section rates among older pregnant women (Usta et al., 2008). Teen pregnancy is associated with the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes such as preterm birth, low birth weight (LBW), perinatal death, dystocia, and maternal death (Fernando et al., 2015). According to (Zureick-Brown and Chou, 2012), maternal death is considered an important indicator in terms of public health, health and economy. Social culture is recognized as an important factor in maternal mortality in sub-Saharan Africa. While major health factors include illiteracy, poverty, illiteracy, malnutrition and misuse of available maternity services, biological factors such as nutritional practices, religious practices, poor transportation and communication, age and differences are also considered important factors in pregnancy (Igberase and et al., 2009).

Maternal deaths are caused by risks associated with pregnancy and birth and inadequate accessibility and quality of health services (Freedman et al., 2005). The most common causes of maternal death in sub-Saharan Africa include hemorrhage (34%), sepsis/infection (10%), hypertension (9%), HIV/AIDS (6%), and other direct causes (5%); Other indirect causes constitute 17% (Khan et al., 2006). Experience from many countries shows that reducing maternal mortality depends on the availability and use of specialist nurses during labor and birth, as well as on the referral process. Child care or the use of child care for all births is essential to manage problems. fields. (Koblinski et al., 1999). However, in many developed countries, most births occur at home and often without the assistance of a consultant (midwife, or doctor) (AbouZahr & Wardlaw, 2001).

#### **Independent variables**

#### **Dependent variables**



Figure 2.1: Conceptual Architecture: Adapted from Tamira Special et ib. 2021

# CHAPTER THREE METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1 Study Area

Nyando District is located between 33° 20° - 35° 20° East Longitude and 0° 20° - 0° 50° South Latitude. Most of the city is rural. The city covers an area of 1168.4 square kilometers. The total population is 161,508 (Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, Kisumu, 2015). There are 15 hospitals and 10 hospitals in the city (KDHS, 2014). The lower part of the Nyando River Basin includes the Nyando, Kadibo, Lower Nyakach, Muhoroni and Miwani subdivisions (Ayayo, 1976). It draws on rivers and their tributaries and covers an area of approximately 3,590 square kilometers. The area has two features: The Kano flood plain and the Nyado River wetlands. Coastal waters provide excellent services and support the livelihoods of many people on the rivers. They provide food, store carbon, regulate water flow, store energy and are important for biodiversity (Obiero et al., 2012).

#### **3.2 Research Design**

This study adopted a analytical cross-sectional research design. It allows researchers to examine and explain the distribution of one or more variables without considering causality or other assumptions (Rakesh and Priya, 2019).

#### **3.3 Target Population**

The target population where mothers who delivered within Nyando hospital and visited public ANC centre once or more. The second group where Health care providers within Nyando Sub-County, working in various public health facilities.

#### **3.4 Population of the Research**

The population of the research consists of mothers who shall visited public health facilities for antenatal care services. The mothers selected from the facilities were those who have gone through the antenatal care program and are likely to have benefited. Our expectation where those mothers to give us useful information because of their experience with antenatal care services at one time or another which they actually did.

#### **3.5 Sample size determination**

The Yamane formula 1967 applied, as elaborated below.

Formula

$$n = \frac{N}{1+N(e)2}$$

n = sampling size

N = general population

e = margin error.

Z = Z score corresponding to  $\alpha = 0.05$  level of significance

N= 860 population

$$(e)^{2} = 0.5$$

 $n = N/1 + N(e)^2$ 

 $n = 860/1 + 860(0.05)^2$ 

n = 273 sample size

An additional 10% of 273 individuals to cater for the non-responses was considered (273+27.3). Therefore, the final sample size was 301 respondents.

#### **3.6 Sampling Techniques**

This study applied randomly generated numbers which facilitated the selection procedure using Microsoft Excel and was estimated as 301 participants according to the calculated sample size. The reproductive population of Nyando Sub-county is 46,749 that is according to (KDHS, 2014). In a simple random sampling technique, each member was having an equal chance of being selected, selection was based on lineup in the cue and with special consideration of only those who visited ANC twice or more. An interview was administered to pregnant women who have come for an ANC visit. A confidence interval of 0.5 was applied.

#### **3.7 Inclusion and Exclusion**

#### 3.7.1: Inclusion Criteria

- Pregnant women who have visited ANC clinic.
- Pregnant women who consent to participate.

#### **3.7.2 Exclusion Criteria**

• Pregnant Women who were too sick to participate or refused to participate in the research.

#### **3.8 Data Collection Procedure**

#### 3.8.1 Questionnaire

The survey was administered by a researcher and two individuals to pregnant women and healthcare workers at a center in Nyando District, Kisumu, due to the language barrier. With the participation of research assistants, the researchers developed tools to make research recommendations to help conduct a survey of obstetric factors affecting poor pregnancy outcomes in women attending the prenatal clinic at Nyando District Public Health Facility in Kisumu. Cultural reasons aggravating to poor pregnancy outcomes in women visiting Nyando County Public Health Facility in Kisumu, how health economics affects poor pregnancy outcomes of women attending antenatal care facility at Nyando County Public Health Facility in Kisumu and finally what is the impact of ANC participation in Nyando County in Kisumu On adverse pregnancy outcomes in women attending the antenatal clinic at a Public Health Facility.

#### **3.8.2 Interview Schedule**

Interviews was carried out with health practitioners on duty based on the study questions, the researchers followed the sequence of what is written on the paper. There were two participants for the interview (the department in charge and the assistant) at the health facilities of Nyando sub-county Kisumu. It was an oral interview that includes open and close-ended questions for the benefit of a clear informatory view of the finding. This process was carried out by the researcher and the two research assistants, it lasted about lessened 30minutes maximum.

#### **3.9 Data Collection Process**

Information was gather by researcher and 2 assistants that help in administering the questionnaire, due to language barriers (Kiswahili and Lou), the maximum time for the questionnaire was lessened 30 minutes. Each research assistant had a session one on one and in focus group discussion, based on the types of questions written down on the research paper. The tools applied for data collection was questionnaire and interview that lasted over three weeks working days. A questionnaire was administered to Nyando Sub-County Facilities health practitioners, especially those that were on duty, we want through study objectives mentioning how those factors contributed to adverse pregnancy outcomes and what their recommendation will be if something is to be done, while an interview was administered to only the in-charges of both departments pointing out health consequences of study topic and how the finding will be of great help to pregnant women, health practitioner and the communities around. An appointment was done one week before the data collection process commences.

#### 3.9.1 Data Collection per Objectives

Objective 1: To find out the Obstetric factors that influence poor pregnancy status among women at the Antenatal Hospital at a public health center in Nyando District, Kisumu. To answer this objective, a questionnaire was administered to pregnant women and Health personnel by researchers and 2 assistance due to language barrier.

The selected diagnoses are:

- · Gestational diabetes (GDM)
- · Hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (HDP)
- · Postpartum hemorrhage PPH
- · Caesarean section, premature birth
- $\cdot$  Age (< 15 years and > 35 years old)

Objective 2: To define the cultural factors that influence poor pregnancy outcomes in pregnant women participating in Antenatal Care at Nyando County Public Health Facility, Kisumu County, Kenya.

This objective was captured through focus group discussions and questionnaires, from pregnant women who attend ANC and the health personnel.

Objective 3: To find out the influence of socio-economic status on poor pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic of Nyando County Public Health Facility, Kisumu County, Kenya. We applied a questionnaire to answer this objective, there was both open and close-ended questions for clarity. Most variables that were attempted are

- · Marital status
- · Household wealth
- · Occupational status
- · Religion
- $\cdot$  Incomes

Objective 4: To establish the influence of ANC visit on poor pregnancy outcomes in women visiting prenatal clinics at the Public Health Facility of Nyando sub-county. This objective was captured through the interview which target the head of the department with their assistant, and focus group discussion was administered to pregnant women.

#### 3.10Pre-test

The procedure used in the pre-test is the same as the procedure used in data collection. The article is original and checkup by the researcher and supervisors. For this study, 30 respondents representing 10 percent of the sample size of the targeted population where used for the pretesting study. Questionnaires was pre-tested at the selected public health facility (Wanganga Health Centre), which is a Ministry of Health basic health center located in Awasi/Onjiko (Awasi Nairobi Rd. Right Hand Side before Branching to Muhoroni.). Since it is the far-end health center and the most recently innovated public facility in 2021 that serves the deeply located individual of Nyando, we find it wise for the pretest administration.

#### **3.11: Validity of Instruments**

For data collection instruments to be considered valid, the content selected and included must be relevant or gap design. Content validity can be improved by using the results of pretests to evaluate whether the study measures what it purports to measure. The data collected during the pre-test was entered, analyzed and interpreted as a result. The instrument was evaluated by competent personnel and their suggestions were taken into account to improve the appearance and content of the data collection instrument.

#### **3.12 Reliability of the Instrument**

An instrument is said to measure a variable accurately. It is measurable and reliable in producing the same results over time. To evaluate the reliability of the research instrument, the researcher used the test-retest method, in which the questionnaire is given to 30 participants on two different occasions within two weeks. The reliability of the scale was checked using the test-retest method (Kothari, 2004). The correlation between the two scores was then calculated. A high test-retest correlation was an indication that the scale is more reliable. Internal consistency refers to the extent to which all items that make up a measurement measure the same behavior. Internal consistency will be measured by the Cronbach Alpha coefficient statistic. Minimum agreement for Cronbach Alpha is 0.7.

#### 3.13 Data Analysis

Data was entered using Excel and SPSS version 27 for analysis. Quantitatively, Descriptive applied binary for outcomes variables while, inferential statistics was analyzed using chi-square considering the significance of the confident interval of 95% and P-value < 0.05 through cross-tabulation.

#### 3.13.1 Data Processing and Statistical Analysis

Objective 1: Quantitatively, Descriptive was analyzed with binary logistic regression and chisquare and use tests to assess significance plus risk Considering the significance of the confident interval of 95% and P-value < 0.05 through cross-tabulation

Objective 2: The outcome and independent variable indicators are binary and the chi-square tests to evaluate significance and risk.

Objective 3: Quantitatively, the outcome and independent variables are binary, and chi-square tests are used to assess significance and risk.

Objective 4: Qualitatively, logistic regression analysis is used to determine the impact of ANC clinics on adverse pregnancy outcomes.

#### **3.13.2 Ethical Approval**

Authorization to conduct the study was attained from Maseno University. Maseno University Scientific and Ethical Review Committee (MUSERC). The research license was requested from National Council for Science, Technology and Innovation (NACOSTI). and seek additional approval from the county Ethics and Review Board of Kisumu county.

#### **3.13.3 Ethical Considerations**

- ✓ Risk and/or Discomfort:
- ✓ You may feel embarrassed, anxious, or anxious when talking about your social behavior with researchers.

Benefits: you will get medical education which will be beneficial in the future.

- $\checkmark$  Costs to you: there are no costs for being in this study.
- Confidentiality: Efforts will be made to keep your personal information confidential, by not indicating your name or phone no, the information collected will be kept locked in a cabinet and computer password.
- ✓ Research-related injury: we do not anticipate any research-related injury. There is no program of monetary compensation through this institution.
- ✓ Reimbursement.: You will not be refunded for participating in the study.
- ✓ Partaking is voluntary: before you learn about the study procedures, you must know that you do not have to be in this study if you do not want to join and you may decide not to take part in this study, or pull out from the study at any time without a break.

#### **CHAPTER FOUR**

#### RESULTS

#### 4.1 Characteristics of socio demographic of research participants

The proportion of women of the child bearing age in terms of prenatal and postnatal were comparable within the group with regard to pregnancy out come (p = 0.083). The research shows that most of the women who visit health centers for their parents aged 16 to 35, 82% Those under 15 years old (17%) following. Finally, a minority of 1.3% are women over 35 years of age. In terms of equality, para 1-2, 3-4 and 5 or more were 75.1% 15.3% and 9.6% respectively. Notably, para 1-2 had the highest number of adverse pregnancy outcome 66.4%

In terms of marital status, 66.8% of the study participants were single while 33.2% were married. Majority 51.2% of single women had adverse pregnancy outcome. Considering family income per household, those whose family income ranged between Ksh 5,000-10,000 were 14% while those whose family income were above Ksh 10,000 were 86%. This analysis shows that majority of the house hold with better or normal obstetric outcome was that with above Kshs. 10,000 (higher) 31.9% The majority of respondents had secondary and higher education, 65.4% and 30.9% respectively. Only 1% are illiterate (Table 4.1).

Characteristics	Pregnancy outcome		
	Normal	Adverse	p value
	n=96	n=205	
Women of child bearing age		·	
Prenatal (n=91)	44 (48.3)	47 (51.7)	0.083
Post-natal (n=210)	52 (24.8)	158 (75.2)	
Age			
<15	0 (0)	51(24.9)	
16-35	92 (37.4)	154(62.6)	0.001
>35	4 (100%)	0 (0)	
Parity			
Para 1-2	76(33.6)	150(66.4)	
Para 3-4	16 (34.8%	30 (65.2)	0.001
Para 5 and above	4 (13.8)	25 (86.2)	
Marital status			
Single	96 (100)	105 (51.2)	0.001
Married	0 (0)	100 (48.8)	
Family income	n (%)	n (%)	
Ksh.5000-10,000	0 (0)	42 (42)	0.001
Above Ksh.10,000	96 (31.9)	163 (54)	
Education			
None	1(1)	2 (1)	
Primary	3 (3.1)	5 (2.4)	
Secondary	71 (74)	126 (61.5)	0.04
Tertiary	21 (21.9)	72 (31.5)	

**Table 4.1: Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Research Participants** 

Data are presented as the n (percentage) values unless stated otherwise. Study participants were categorized into normal pregnancy outcome and adverse pregnancy outcome (with any ant obstetric abnormality such as APH, PPH, eclampsia etc Abbreviations: APH; Antepartum haemorrhage; PPH: postpartum haemorrhage; TBA: Traditional birth attendance.

# 4.2 Obstetric factors influencing adverse pregnancy outcomes of women in public antenatal care hospitals

Chi-square analysis showed that <15 years of age was associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes (p=0.001) (Table 1) binary logistic regression demonstrated that pregnant women of age 16-35 were less likely to have adverse pregnancy outcomes [OR = 0.41, 95% CI = 0.260-0.670, p = 0.001]. The regression model further demonstrated that women with higher parity

(para 5 and above) were more expected to experience adverse pregnancy outcomes [OR = 1.316, 95% CI = 0.606-2.990, p = 0.080]. In this case, the odds of negative pregnancy outcomes increased with parity though this was not statistically significant. Majority of the respondents featuring 50.8% had gestation week of above 37 weeks, followed by 21.6% gestation period of 28-37 weeks, then 19.3% gestation 20-28 weeks and finally 8.3%. Therefore, most of the women were above 37 weeks who attended antenatal while minority were below 20 weeks. Majority of women with adverse pregnancy outcome 31.7% were 28-37 weeks of gestation.

# Table 4.2: Obstetric factors influencing adverse pregnancy outcomes of women in public antenatal care hospitals

Characteristics	Pregnancy outcome		p-value
	OR	95% CI	
Age, (years)			
<15	XXX	XXX	
16-35	0.41	0.26-0.67	0.001 <sup>a</sup>
>35	Ref	-	-
Parity			
Para 1-2	Ref		
Para 3-4	1.053	0.540-2.050	
Para 5 and above	1.316	0.606-2.990	$0.080^{a}$
Gestation period			
< 20 Weeks	XXX	XXX	
Weeks 20-28	XXX	XXX	
Weeks 28-37	0.114	0.132-0.497	0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Above 37 Weeks	Ref	-	-
Family condition			
APH	Ref	-	-
Diabetes mellites	0.963	0.811-1.312	
Hypertension	0.81	0.661-0.108	$0.064^{a}$
PPH	XXX	XXX	
Others	XXX	XXX	

Participants (n=301) were grouped based on the obstetric outcome. <sup>a</sup> Statistical significance was determined by the Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) analysis. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were determined between the groups using binary logistic regression model. Statistically significant was set at *p*<0.05. The reference groups in the regression analysis were age >35-years, parity; para 1-2, gestation period; >35 weeks, Family condition; APH for each obstetric

factor. Abbreviations: APH Antepartum Hemorrhage, PPH Postpartum Hemorrhage, XXX; did not run in the regression model.

# **4.3** Cultural factors influencing the negative outcome of pregnancy in women who go to pre-natal clinics

All of the mothers interviewed in this study (301) do not believe in their own culture; This suggests that culture was not associated with poor fertility in this study. While the majority of respondents seek medicine from hospitals (93%), very few seek medicine from churches (2%) and herbalists (3%). Each participant had different reasons for seeking treatment in different locations, as shown in the table below. 4.3.

#### Table 4.3: Where you normally seek medication

Reasons for seeking medication in hospital	Number (%)
For better service in case of any complication	132(43.8%)
The drugs are available at the hospitals	88(29.2%)
Doctors are competent and can handle me well	81(26.9%)
	301(100%)

# Table 4.4 Cultural factors that influence adverse pregnancy outcomes among women attending antenatal care clinics

Characteristics	Pregnancy outcome		OR	95% CI	p-value
	Normal	Adverse			
	n=96	n=205			
Where medication is					
sought					
TBA	0(0)	2(1)	Ref.	-	-
Hospital	93 (96.9)	191(93.2)	1.200	0.73-19.631	
Church	3(3.1)	4(2)	1.127	0.100-12.649	
Herbalist	0 (0)	8(3.9)	0.583	0.050-6.754	$0.297^{a}$

Participants (n=301) were grouped based on the obstetric outcome. <sup>a</sup> Statistical significance was determined by the Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) analysis. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were determined between the groups using binary logistic regression model Statistically significant was set at *p*<0.05. The reference group in the regression was TBA; Traditional birth attendance.

# **4.4 Socio-economic Status on Adverse Pregnancy Outcome in Women Visiting ANC Clinic** With regard to marital status, being single was associated with adverse pregnancy outcome [OR=5.32, 95% CI=03.240-8.760, P=0.001]. Using family income of Ksh. 5,000-10,000 as the reference group, in the binary regression model, families whose income were more than Ksh. 10,000 were 4.95 times more likely to have better or normal pregnancy outcome [OR=0.495, 95% CI=3.780-9.900, P=0.001]. Education was associated with normal or better pregnancy outcome relative to illiteracy [OR=0.583, 95% CI=0.050-0.675, P=0.040] (Table 4.4).

Characteristics	Pregnar	p value	
	OR	95% CI	
Marital status			
Single	Ref	-	
Married	5.320	3.24-8.760	$0.001^{a}$
Family income			
Ksh.5000-10,000	Ref	-	-
Above Ksh.10,000	4.95	3.780-9.900	<b>0.001</b> <sup>a</sup>
Education			
None	XXX	XXX	-
Primary	1.200	0.73-19.631	
Secondary	1.127	0.100-12.649	$0.040^{\mathrm{a}}$
Tertiary	Ref	-	-

 Table 4.5 Socio-economic status on adverse pregnancy outcomes among women attending

 antenatal care clinic

Participants (n=301) were grouped based on the obstetric outcome. <sup>a</sup> Statistical significance was determined by the Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) analysis. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were determined between the groups using binary logistic regression model Statistically significant was set at *p*<0.05. The reference groups in the regression were single for marital status, Ksh. 5000-10,000 for family income and tertiary for education level.

# 4.5 The influence of ANC visit on adverse pregnancy outcomes in women attending ANC care clinics

With regard to visit of antenatal care clinic (second trimester), early visit was associated with the better or normal pregnancy outcome using chi square and odds ratio analyses ( $\chi^2$ =62.17, p= 0.001) and women attending antenatal as the first visit in the second trimester were 0.2 times less likely to develop adverse outcome as demonstrated by binary logistic regression (Table 4.5).

According to WHO recommendations for appropriate ANC (4 or more visits) 2002 the current study compared the pregnancy outcome of 3 visits versus 4 visits to ANC. Using 3 visits as the reference group, the study did not find any association with the number of visits OR (0.36-1.26), p=0.314. Uptake of tetanus vaccine was not associated with normal or better pregnancy outcome ( $\chi^2$ =3.408, p= 0.084).

Characteristics	Pregnancy of		p-value		
	Normal	Adverse	OR	95% CI	-
	n=96	n=205			
First trimester	68 (70.8)	48 (23.4)	Ref	-	-
Second trimester	28 (29.2)	156 (76.1)	0.221	0.142-0.927	0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Third trimester	0 (0)	1 (0.5)	XXX	XXX	
Number of visits					
3 visits	0 (0)	3(1.5)	Ref		
4 visits (All)	96(100)	202 (98.5)	0.39	0.36-1.26	0.314 <sup>a</sup>
Tetanus vaccine					
Vaccinated	2(1)	203 (99)	Ref	-	$0.084^{a}$
Not vaccinated	4 (13.8)	92 (95.8)	0.622	0.42-0.95	

Table 4.6: ANC visits on pregnancy outcome among women attending antenatal clinic

Participants (n=301) were grouped based on the obstetric outcome. <sup>a</sup> Statistical significance was determined by the Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) analysis. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were determined between the groups using binary logistic regression model. Statistically significant was set at *p*<0.05. The reference groups in the regression were First visit for start of visit and 3 visits for the number of visits. *Abbreviation:* XXX; did to run in the regression model table

#### **CHAPTER FIVE**

#### DISCUSSION

#### 5.1 influence of Obstetric Factors on Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Clinics

Adverse obstetric outcome has been over the decades considered a major public health concern (Asefa & Ayele, 2020). Various obstetric factors such as age of the mother, parity, gestation period and chronic conditions among others have been hypothesized to be associated with obstetric outcome. However, data supporting this hypothesis has remained very scare especially in developing countries. As such, the current study determined the influence of age, parity, gestation period and family chronic condition on the obstetric outcome among pregnant women visiting the antenatal care clinic at the Nyando County Public Health Facility in Kisumu, Kenya. Current findings show the relationship between age of the mother and obstetric outcome. Furthermore, this study demonstrated that women between the ages 16-35 are 0.41 times less likely to have reproductive problems than young women under the age of 15. This finding is consistent with the results of a previous study (Dadras et al., 2020).

This is attributable different extent in development of female reproductive organ relative to age towards child development. Additionally, the age bracket of 16-35 year falls within the active productive age where women actively give birth (Dadras et al., 2020) and within 15-49 years (UN, 2019). This finding corroborates that of Dadras and colleagues which found out that women between the age 25-35 were associated with adequate ANC visits as opposed to women above 35 year who were less likely to have adequate ANC visits (Dadras et al., 2020). This could ultimately impact on the better pregnancy outcome. This finding has been further reinforced by that of a review article by Heazell and colleagues and as well as other studies (Attali & Yogev, 2021; Heazell, Newman, Lean, & Jones, 2018; Ogawa et al., 2017) discovered maternal-fetal issues in women, he added. The risk of disease increases over the age of 35, and these risks increase with age. For example, advanced maternal age has been shown to be associated with preterm birth and other complications (Attali and Yogev, 2021).

In the current study, parity was calculated as the number of newborns a woman delivered (per trimester) or at 24 weeks or more, irrespective of whether the child was viable still birth. Though the results generated was not statistically significant, the present study demonstrated that increase in parity was associated with adverse pregnancy outcome. This was based on specific

prevalence for para1-2, para 3-4 and para 5 and above as 66.4%, 65.2% and 86.2 % relative to those women with normal pregnancy outcome for para1-2, para 3-4 and para 5 and above as 33.6%, 34.8% 13.8% respectively. This is attributed to possible inadequate prenatal care in resource limited settings as in the case of the present study area. This finding supports that of a previous study which determined grand multiparity is a risk factor and associated it with inadequate prenatal care (Farladansky-Gershnabel, Levy, & Neiger, 2016). The current finding reinforces that of a study in Nigeria which demonstrated that parity significantly influence adverse pregnancy outcome (Asundep et al., 2014). The above mention study, showed that mothers per 3 or additional kids (tested as continuous variables) were more likely to have poor outcomes compared to women with <3 children (p=0.0112).

Additionally, another study demonstrated that women with higher parity were more likely paral-2, para 3-4 and para 5 and above to experience adverse pregnancy outcome (Dadras et al., 2021). It is unclear how parity affects fertility but has been attributed to placental and maternal age associated with chromosomal abnormalities (Aliyu, Jolly, Ehiri, & Salihu, 2005). Influence of gestational age on pregnancy outcomes was statistically significance as demonstrated in current study finding. Post-term births (after 42 weeks) have been associated with both increased complications in neonatal outcome and increased risk of labour complications, like a difficulty inducing labour or increased likelihood of caesarean section (Yim, Wong, Cabalag, Wallace, & Davies-Tuck, 2017). Optimal term births (37 to 42 weeks) have been associated with normal or better pregnancy outcomes. With reference to Preterm Births (before 37 weeks), multiple pregnancies (twins or more), pregnancies with certain risks such as infection, the mother's health and certain lifestyles are at higher risk. However, in the current study none of the participants has had multiple pregnancies. Based on individual variability, it is imperative to note that each pregnancy is unique, and the gestational period is just one factor. The overall health of the mother, access to prenatal care, lifestyle factors, and genetics also play a substantial role in determining pregnancy outcomes.

The present study equally evaluated chronic condition of the participant's relatives or family member. Lack of association between the conditions evaluated (APH, Diabetes mellites, hypertension and PPH) and adverse pregnancy outcomes because genetic alterations may occur among participants and their relatives. It worth noting that pregnant women with these conditions (APH, gestational Diabetes, hypertension and PPH) have been associated with adverse pregnancy outcome (Dalfrà et al., 2020; Guedes-Martins, 2017; Ye et al., 2022) hence this may require further explorations.

# 5.2 Cultural Factors Affecting Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes in Women Attending ANC Care Clinics

(Sychareun V, et al. 2009 & Frese M 2015). With many and varied regional differences, some beliefs, traditions, and religious practices may be beneficial while others may be harmful or have no effect on the health of the mother or child (Chand S. 2016). The World Health Organization (WHO) defines traditional and complementary medicine (TCM) as health systems, methods, knowledge and practices, including plants, animals and minerals; spiritual healing; opinions; and exercise; all of these can be used alone or in combination to treat, diagnose, and prevent diseases or maintain health (Qi Z., Kelley E, 2014-2023). In this study, most of the respondents (93%) sought medicine from the hospital because of better service in case of problems, availability of medicine in the hospital, and doctors being resources, while some respondents sought medicine from the church. Medicines from herbalists are included (2%), (3%), in contrast to a survey in South Africa that said more than 80% of Africans use traditional medicine and often see it as the only health option available, especially in rural areas where many medicines are available. herbal medicine used (Kasilo O.M., Trapsida J.-M, 2010, Lu Y. et al. 2011 & John L.J., Shantakumari N 2015). Herbal use during pregnancy has been reported to vary greatly depending on where you live, race, culture, and socioeconomic status (Illamola SM, et al. 2020).

# 5.3 Influence of Socio-Economic Status on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes Among Women attending ANC Care Clinic

This study demonstrated that socio-economic factor influence pregnancy outcome. According to this study, married women were 3.24 times more likely to have normal or better pregnancy outcome. This finding is attributable to financial and emotional support that the husband could provide to the spouse during pregnancy. Besides, child bearing is considered a blessing and during gestation period, there is a lot of anxiety from both spouses that comes with parenting after marriage in African tradition. This finding supports those of a previous study which showed that marital status is associated with better pregnancy outcome (Lurie, Zalmanovitch, Golan, & Sadan, 2010). However, this current finding opposes that of a previous retrospective

case-control study that did not find any difference in the pregnancy outcome between the married and unmarried women (Lurie et al., 2010).

With regards to family income, the current study demonstrated that family income is associated with better or normal pregnancy outcomes.

Regarding family income, current research shows that family income is associated with better or normal fertility. This finding supports previous research showing that family income is a better predictor of access to quality and appropriate healthcare (World Health Organization, 2019). The current findings confirm Singh and colleagues' hypothesis and inform healthcare decision-making in the United States (Singh et al., 2017).

In evaluating the effect of education on pregnancy outcome, the current study found out the education significantly affect the pregnancy outcome. This finding is attributable to knowledge possessed by women of different levels of education such as primary, secondary and tertiary education based on the Kenyan 844 system. This knowledge has been shown to be beneficial in understanding the need of proper antenatal care such as ANC visits which has profound effect on pregnancy outcome (Dadras et al., 2020). Additionally, education is seen as one of the most important determinants of access to health services (World Health Organization, 2019). Many studies conducted in both developed and developing countries have shown that people with education from upper social classes are more likely to receive adequate and quality healthcare (Singh et al., 2017). The same results have been reported amongst migrants (Babu, Swain, Mishra, & Kar, 2010; Kusuma, Kumari, & Kaushal, 2013). Advanced learning is also associated with increased chances of obtaining high-paying jobs and therefore high incomes, not only in Kenya but also in other parts of the world (World Health Organization, 2019). Finally, previous studies have also shown that mothers are willing to participate in their children's health and have good knowledge about the importance of prenatal care (Akowuah, Agyei-Baffour, & Awunyo-Vitor, 2018).

#### 5.4 Influence of ANC Visits on Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In women attending antenatal clinics for services and interventions important to maternal and infant health (Aliyu et al., 2005). With regards to start of ANC visits, the current study demonstrated trimester of the first visit is associated with pregnancy outcome. According to the current study, majority of pregnant would prefer to begin their ANC visits in the initial trimester

rather than the subsequent trimesters. Women who visited ANC in the second trimester were 0.2 times less likely to have adverse pregnancy outcomes. This plausible to good antenatal care services provided at this early stage of pregnancy. These services may include iron and folic acid supplementation (IFAS), malaria screening and provision of malaria prophylaxis, detection and management of hypertension, and treatment of any possible infection that the mother might have.

In this study, 3 ANC visits were associated with adverse pregnancy outcome since none of the participants had less than 3 ANC visits. The research did not report any suggestion between 3 ANC visits and adverse pregnancy outcomes. This study did not report any association between 3 ANC visits and adverse pregnancy outcome. This could be because the current study did not control for gestation period between the 3 and 4 ANC visits and other factors related to ANC visits. Elsewhere, adequate ANC visits may vary from 8-13 which have been shown to be associated with better pregnancy outcome (Asundep et al., 2014). A survey among Afghan women in Iran where ANC visit <8 was considered to be poor; it was demonstrated that poor ANC visits could adversely impact the pregnancy outcome (Dadras et al., 2021). Whereas ANC participation is a predictor of pregnancy outcomes, it might not reproduce actual services received during pregnancy, which may require further investigation.

#### **CHAPTER SIX**

# SUMMARY OF THE STUDY, LIMITATIONS, COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 6.1 Summary of the Study

The research shows that the majority of people interviewed in this study visit health centers for maternal health services. 81.7% (246/301) were between the ages of 16 and 35, followed by those under 15 with 17% (51/301). Finally, a minority of 1.3% (4/301) were women over 35 years of age. In terms of parity, the rates of expressions 1-2, 3-4 and above are 75.1% (226/301), 15.3% (46/301) and 9.6% (29/301), respectively. 1-2 of these. departments had the highest pregnancy outcomes at 66.4% (150/205).

Therefore, most of the women were above 37 weeks who attended ANC while minority were below 20 weeks. Majority of women with adverse pregnancy outcome 65/205 (31.7%) were 28-37 weeks of gestation. Hospital was identified to be the health facility visited by most pregnant women 284/301 (94.4%) followed by herbal facility from herbalists 8/301 (2.7%). Great number of the respondents had secondary and higher education; 197/301 (65.4%) and 93/301 (30.9%), respectively. Only 3/301 (1%) were illiterate (Table 4.1). In terms of marital status, 66.8% (201/301) of the study participants were single while 33.2% (100/301) were married. Majority of single women 51.2% (105/205) had adverse pregnancy outcome.

Considering family income per household, those whose family income ranged between Ksh 5,000-10,000 were 14% (42/301) while those whose family income were above Ksh 10,000 were 86% (256/301). This analysis shows that majority of the house hold with better or normal obstetric outcome was that with above Kshs. 10,000 (higher) 31.9%. According to current research, most pregnant women prefer to begin their ANC visits in the initial trimester rather than the subsequent trimesters. Women who visited ANC in the second trimester were 0.2 times less likely to have adverse pregnancy outcomes.

#### **6.2 Limitations**

This study had some shortcomings which should be considered while interpreting these results. The study it did not assess some factors such as spousal violence, which have been hypothesised to influence birth outcomes. The study design of the current study limits the interpretation of the relationship between relationships. Some of the data collected is recovery-based and can therefore be returned impartially. In the statistical analysis, the studies were not adjusted for all possible confounding factors, such as environmental and genetic factors. Due to few numbers of women without adverse pregnancy outcome (96) strength of association for some independent variables could not be determined as they could not run in the regression model.

#### 6.3 Conclusion

The results of this study show the relationship between maternal age and obstetric outcomes. Additionally, this study showed that women between the ages of 16 and 35 were 0.41 times less likely to have reproductive problems than young women under the age of 15. This finding is consistent with the results of a previous study (Dadras et al., 2020). Women over the age of 35 are at high risk for maternal and fetal complications, and these risks increase with increasing age. Conversely, maternal adolescent age has been found to be associated with preterm births among other complications (Attali & Yogev, 2021).

the present study demonstrated that increase in parity was associated with adverse pregnancy outcome. This was based on specific prevalence for para1-2, para 3-4 and para 5 and above as 66.4%, 65.2% and 86.2 % relative to those women with normal pregnancy outcome for para1-2, para 3-4 and para 5 and above as 33.6%, 34.8% 13.8% respectively. This is because child care be limited such in this may not adequate in areas. as study area. According to research, married women are 3.24 times to have better pregnancy outcome. This finding is attributable to financial and emotional support that the husband could provide to the spouse during pregnancy. Besides, child bearing is considered a blessing and during gestation period, there is a lot of anxiety from both spouses that comes with parenting after marriage in African tradition. With regards to family income, the current study demonstrated that family income is associated with better or normal pregnancy outcomes. This finding supports that of a prior study that demonstrated that income in an household is one of the better determinants to access to quality and adequate healthcare (WHO, 2019). In evaluating the effect of education on pregnancy outcome, the current study found out the education significantly affect the pregnancy outcome. This finding is attributable to knowledge possessed by women of different levels of education such as primary, secondary and tertiary education based on the Kenyan 844 system. This knowledge has been shown to be beneficial in understanding the need of proper antenatal care such as ANC visits which has profound effect on pregnancy outcome (Dadras et al., 2020).

According to the current study, majority of pregnant would prefer to start visiting the ANC in the first trimester as opposed to second and third trimester. Women attending ANC visit in the second trimester were 0.2 times less likely to develop adverse pregnancy outcome. According to current research, most pregnant women prefer to begin their ANC visits early in pregnancy rather than in the second and third trimesters. Women who visited ANC in the second trimester were 0.2 times less likely to have adverse pregnancy outcomes.

#### **6.4 Recommendations**

- i. How family obstetric factors a risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes
- ii. To find out how grand parity affect birth outcomes
- iii. Relationship between economic disadvantage and poor maternal outcomes
- iv. Relationship between ANC services (iron and folic acid supplement) and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

#### REFFERENCES

- Aarnio P, Kulmala T, Olsson P. (2018): Husband's role in handling pregnancy complications in Mangochi District, Malawi: a call for increased focus on community level male involvement. Sex Reprod Healthc. 2018; 16:61–6.Return to ref 10 in article
- AbouZahr C, Wardlaw T (2001): Maternal mortality at the end of a decade: signs of progress? Bull World Health Organ 2001, 79:561-5
- Aishatu A, Gabriele P, Patrick N, Kabir S, Aisha A, Thandi P. (2019): Factors associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes and perceptions of risk factors among reproductive age women in Soba LGA, Kaduna State 2013
- Amaya C, Donald D, James f, Kate P, Annelee B. (2019): Maternal Human Papillomavirus and Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes: A Retrospective Cohort Study Published Online: 17 May 2019https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2018.7043.
- Arsenault C, Jordan K, Lee D, Dinsa G, Manzi F, Marchant T, et al., (2018): Equity in antenatal care quality, an analysis of 91 national household surveys. *Lancet Glob Health*. (2018) 6: e1186–95. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X (18)30389-9
- Akowuah, J. A., Agyei-Baffour, P., & Awunyo-Vitor, D. (2018). Determinants of Antenatal Healthcare Utilisation by Pregnant Women in Third Trimester in Peri-Urban Ghana. *J Trop Med*, 2018, 1673517. doi:10.1155/2018/1673517
- Aliyu, M. H., Jolly, P. E., Ehiri, J. E., & Salihu, H. M. (2005). High parity and adverse birth outcomes: exploring the maze. *Birth*, 32(1), 45-59. doi:10.1111/j.0730-7659.2005.00344.x
- Asefa, U., & Ayele, W. M. (2020). Adverse Obstetrical and Perinatal Outcomes Among Advanced Age Pregnant Mothers in Northeast Ethiopia: A Comparative Cross-Sectional Study. *Int J Womens Health*, 12, 1161-1169. doi:10.2147/ijwh.S284124
- Asundep, N. N., Jolly, P. E., Carson, A., Turpin, C. A., Zhang, K., & Tameru, B. (2014). Antenatal care attendance, a surrogate for pregnancy outcome? The case of Kumasi, Ghana. *Matern Child Health J*, 18(5), 1085-1094. doi:10.1007/s10995-013-1338-2
- Attali, E., & Yogev, Y. (2021). The impact of advanced maternal age on pregnancy outcome. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol, 70, 2-9. doi:10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2020.06.006
- Babu, B. V., Swain, B. K., Mishra, S., & Kar, S. K. (2010). Primary healthcare services among a migrant indigenous population living in an eastern Indian city. J Immigr Minor Health, 12(1), 53-59. doi:10.1007/s10903-008-9181-z
- Barker DJ. (1998): In utero programming of chronic disease. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 1998; 95:115–128. [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
- Bililign N, Legesse M, Akibu M. (2018): A Review of Low Birth Weight in Ethiopia: Socio-Demographic and Obstetric Risk Factors Abstract Magnitude of low birth weight. *iMedPub Journals* 2018; 5: 1–5. [Google Scholar] [Ref list]

- Blencowe H, Cousens S, Jassir FB, Say L, Chou D, Mathers C, et al., (2015): National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2016; 4:e98–108.
- Blessmore VC, Steve O, Simon Ny and Andy B. (2019): Adverse pregnancy outcomes, 'stillbirths and early neonatal deaths' in Mutare district, Zimbabwe. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 19:86 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2229-3.
- C. R. Kothari. (2004): Research Method.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017): Tobacco Use and Pregnancy [last updated 2017 Sep 29; accessed 2018 Mar 22].
- Centre of Disease Control. (2021): Reproductive Outcomes. Picture of Americia Reproductive Fact Sheet, CDC.
- Dadras, O., Dadras, F., Taghizade, Z., Seyedalinaghi, S., Ono-Kihara, M., Kihara, M., & Nakayama, T. (2020). Barriers and associated factors for adequate antenatal care among Afghan women in Iran; findings from a community-based survey. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth, 20(1), 427. doi:10.1186/s12884-020-03121-5
- Dadras, O., Nakayama, T., Kihara, M., Ono-Kihara, M., Seyedalinaghi, S., & Dadras, F. (2021). The prevalence and associated factors of adverse pregnancy outcomes among Afghan women in Iran; Findings from community-based survey. *PLoS One*, 16(1), e0245007. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0245007
- Dalfrà, M. G., Del Vescovo, G. G., Burlina, S., Baldan, I., Pastrolin, S., & Lapolla, A. (2020). Celiac Disease and Pregnancy Outcomes in Patients with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Int J Endocrinol*, 2020, 5295290. doi:10.1155/2020/5295290
- Danielewicz H, Myszczyszyn G, Dębińska A, Myszkal A, Boznański A, Hirnle L. (2017): Diet in pregnancy—more than food. Eur J Pediatr. 2017; 176(12):1573–9.Return to ref 18 in article CAS PubMed PubMed Central Article Google Scholar
- Department of Health, Saving Mothers. (2018): Annual Report on Confidential Inquiries into Maternal Death in South Africa. Pretoria: Government Print; 2018
- Eun JK and Young JK. (2017): What is fetal programming?: a lifetime health is under the control of in utero health
- Farladansky-Gershnabel, S., Levy, G., & Neiger, R. (2016). Obstetric Complications and Pregnancy Outcome Among Grand Multiparous Women. J Reprod Med, 61(9-10), 452-456.
- Fernando Althabe, Janet L, Luz G, Mabel B, Shivaprasad S, Elwyn C, Richard J Archana P, Sarah S, Omrana P, Fabian E, Ana G, Edward A, K Michael, Nancy F, Patricia L, Robert L, Marion K, Waldemar A, Maria L, Pierre B & Elizabeth M. (2015): Adverse maternal and perinatal outcomes in adolescent pregnancies: The Global Network's Maternal Newborn Health Registry study

- Fleming TP, Velazquez MA, Eckert JJ, Lucas ES, Watkins AJ. (February 2012): "Nutrition of females during the peri-conceptional period and effects on foetal programming and health of offspring". *Animal Reproduction Science*. **130** (3–4): 193– 7. Doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2012.01.015. PMID 22341375.
- Freedman LP, Waldman RJ, de Pinho H, Wirth ME, Chowdhury AM, Rosenfield A (2005): Transforming health systems to improve the lives of women and children. Lancet 2005, 365:997-1000.
- Gedefaw G, Alemnew B, Demis A. (2020): Adverse fetal outcomes and its associated factors in Ethiopia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pediatr* 2020; 20: 269. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
- Gershim A, Kathy B, Rob N, Lena M, Janet S, Anatoli K, and Lars S. (2015): Adverse pregnancy outcomes in rural Uganda (1996–2013). Trends and associated factors from serial cross-sectional surveys. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2015; 15:279. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-015-0708-8.Return to ref 12 in article
- Ghailani, A. Gowri, V. (2019): Obstetric complications and adverse pregnancy outcomes among elderly primigravidae of age 35 years and above in Oman
- Gitonga E. (2017): Determinants of Focused Antenatal Care Uptake among Women in Tharaka Nithi County, Kenya.. Advances in Public Health. Vol. 2017, pp. 1–4.(https://doi. org/10.1155/2017/3685401).
- Guedes-Martins, L. (2017). Chronic Hypertension and Pregnancy. Adv Exp Med Biol, 956, 395-407. doi:10.1007/5584\_2016\_81
- Hannah B, Simon C, Fiorella B, Lale S, Doris C, Colin M, Dan H, Suhail S, Zeshan U, Danzhen Y, Joy E. (2016): National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis
- Hartling L, Dryden DM, Guthrie A, Muise M, Vandermeer B, Donovan L. (2014): Diagnostic thresholds for gestational diabetes and their impact on pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review. Diabet Med. 2014;31(3):319–31. doi: 10.1111/dme.12357. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
- Heazell, A. E. P., Newman, L., Lean, S. C., & Jones, R. L. (2018). Pregnancy outcome in mothers over the age of 35. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol*, 30(6), 337-343. doi:10.1097/gco.00000000000494
- Igberase GO, Isah EC, Igbekoyi OF (2009): Awareness and perception of maternal mortality among women in a semi-urban community in the Niger Delta of Nigeria. Ann Afr Med. 2009;8(4):261–265. doi:10.4103/1596-3519.59582
- John E, Halimatou A, Ibitola A , Joy C , Ekpereonne E, and Martin M. (2018): Emergency transportation interventions for reducing adverse pregnancy outcomes in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review protocol, (2018) 7:65 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-018-0729-2

Joseph Adu. (2020): Socio-Cultural Factors Affecting Pregnancy Outcomes in the Dangme West District of Ghana

KCMCH. (2021): Maternal and child indicators

- Kebede AS, Muche AA, Alene AG. (2018): Factors associated with adverse pregnancy outcome in Debre Tabor town, Northwest Ethiopia: a case control study. BMC Res Notes. 2018; 11:820 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-018-3932-2.Return to ref 23 in article
- Kent ST, McClure LA, Zaitchik BF, Gohlke GM. (2013): Area-level risk factors for adverse birth outcomes: trends in urban and rural settings. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2013; 13:129 http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2393/13/129.
- Kenya Demographic and Health Survey. (2014).
- Kenya Demographic and Health Survey. (2014): ICF Macro. Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Rockville: ICF International; 2014. [Ref list]
- Kenya MOH & WHO. (2020): Kenya Progress Report on Health and Health-Related SDGS
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. (2014): ICF Macro. Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Rockville: ICF International; 2014.
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. (2014): ICF Macro. Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Rockville: ICF International; 2014. [Ref list]
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. ICF Macro. (2014): Kenya Demographic and Health Survey, Rockville. ICF International.
- Khan KS, Wojdyla D, Say L, Gulmezoglu AM, Van Look PF (2006): WHO analysis of causes of maternal death: a systematic review. Lancet 2006, 367:1066-1074.
- Kisumu Counties, (2021): Maternal and Child Health Record.
- KNBS. (2015): Kenya National Bureau of Statistics Kisumu
- KNBS. (2015): Kenya Demographic Health survey .2015; 22.
- Koblinsky MA, Campbell O, Heichelheim J (1999): Organizing delivery care: what works for safe motherhood? Bull World Health Organ 1999, 77:399-406.
- Koku ST, Malede MS, Getayeneh AT, and Zemenu TT. (2021): Determinants of adverse birth outcome in Sub-Saharan Africa: analysis of recent demographic and health surveys. BMC Public Health. 2021; 21: 1092.
- Kusuma, Y. S., Kumari, R., & Kaushal, S. (2013). Migration and access to maternal healthcare: determinants of adequate antenatal care and institutional delivery among socioeconomically disadvantaged migrants in Delhi, India. *Trop Med Int Health*, 18(10), 1202-1210. doi:10.1111/tmi.12166
- Lee SH, Lee SM, Lim NG, Kim HJ, Bae S-H, et al. (2016): Differences in pregnancy outcomes, prenatal care utilization, and maternal complications between teenagers and adult women in Korea: a nationwide epidemiological study. Medicine. 2016; 95:e4630. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000004630. [Europe PMC free article] [Abstract] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

- Lurie, S., Zalmanovitch, A., Golan, A., & Sadan, O. (2010). The effect of marital status on pregnancy outcome in Israel: a retrospective case-control study. J Obstet Gynaecol Res, 36(6), 1161-1164. doi:10.1111/j.1447-0756.2010.01312.x
- Mais A. (2015): The Association of Advanced Maternal Age and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes, Thesis. Georgia State University; 2015
- Maputle MS, Khoza LB, Lebese RT. (2015): Knowledge towards pregnancy-induced hypertension among pregnant women in Vhembe District, Limpopo Province. J Human Ecolo. 2015; 51(1, 2):47–54. doi:10.1080/09709274.2015.11906893
- Marmot M. (2020): Health equity in England: the Marmot review 10 years on. BMJ. 368:m693.doi:10.1136/bmj.m693pmid:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32094 110
- Min KK, Seung ML, Sung-HB, Hyun JK, Nam GL, Seok-JY, Jin YL, and Min-WJ. (2016 & 2018): Socioeconomic status can affect pregnancy outcomes and complications, even with a universal healthcare system
- Min KK, Seung ML, Sung-HB, Hyun JK, Nam GL, Seok-JY, Jin YL, and Min-WJ. (2018): Socioeconomic status can affect pregnancy outcomes and complications, even with a universal healthcare system
- Mkandawire E, Hendriks SL. (2018): A qualitative analysis of men's involvement in maternal and child health as a policy intervention in rural Central Malawi. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018; 18(1):37.
- Muktar A, Getu M, Reta T, Adugna O, Eba A, and Tilahun B. (2020): Determinants of adverse birth outcomes among women delivered in public hospitals of Ethiopia, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-021-00776-0.
- Muktar A, Getu M, Reta T, Adugna O, Eba A and Tilahun B. (2020): Determinants of adverse birth outcomes among women delivered in public hospitals of Ethiopia, 2020.
  Abadiga et al. Archives of Public Health (2022) 80:12 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-021-00776-0
- Nicoletto, S. F., & Rinaldi, A. (2011): In the womb's shadow: The theory of prenatal programming as the fetal origin of various adult diseases is increasingly supported by a wealth of evidence. *EMBO Reports*, *12*, 30–34. doi:10.1038/embor.2010.199
- Nyaberi, Jackline Mosinya. (2018): Implementation of Free Maternal Services among Postnatal Women in Selected Public Hospitals in Nyanza, Kenya
- Obiero K. O., Philip O. Raburu., J. B. Okeyo-Owuor. And Elizabeth Raburu. (2012): Community Perceptions on the Impact of the Recession of Lake Victoria Waters on Nyando Wetland. Scientific Research and Essays Vol. 7(16), pp. 1647-1661
- Ocholla-Ayayo, A.B.C. (1976): Traditional Ideology and Ethics among the Southern Luo. Uppsala, Scandinavian Institute of African Studies.
- O'Connell R, Owen C, Padley M, et al., (2019): Which types of family are at risk of food poverty in the UK? A relative deprivation approach. Soc Policy Soc 2019; 18:1–18.Doi: 10.1017/S1474746418000015. Google Scholar

Ogawa, K., Urayama, K. Y., Tanigaki, S., Sago, H., Sato, S., Saito, S., & Morisaki, N. (2017). Association between very advanced maternal age and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a cross sectional Japanese study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*, 17(1), 349. doi:10.1186/s12884-017-1540-0

Rakesh Aggarwal and Priya Ranganathan. (2019): Study designs: Part 2 – Descriptive studies

- Riang'a. Roselyter M, Anne K and Jacqueline E, et al., (2018): Perceived causes of adverse pregnancy outcomes and remedies adopted by Kalenjin women in rural Kenya. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth (2018) 18:408 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-018-2041-
- Singh, G. K., Daus, G. P., Allender, M., Ramey, C. T., Martin, E. K., Perry, C., . . . Vedamuthu, I. P. (2017). Social Determinants of Health in the United States: Addressing Major Health Inequality Trends for the Nation, 1935-2016. *Int J MCH AIDS*, 6(2), 139-164. doi:10.21106/ijma.236
- Shriver, E.K. (2017): National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. About Pregnancy. https://www.nichd.nih.gov/health/topics/pregnancy/conditioninfo
- Statistics South Africa. (2017): Millennium Development Goals. Goal 5: improve Maternal Health; 2010. Available from http://www.statssa. gov.za/MDG/2010\_.pdf. Accessed 20 April 2017. 2. U. WHO and B. W. UNFPA, "Trends in maternal mortality: 2000 to 2017: estimates by WHO, UNICEF," UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division. Sexual and Reproductive Health. Geneva, 2019.
- Tamirat K. S, Malede M.S, Getayeneh A.T and Zemenu T.T. (2021): Determinants of adverse birth outcome in Sub-Saharan Africa: analysis of recent demographic and health surveys
- Tsehaye H, Gurmesa T, Haileselasie B, Meresa G & Kebede H. (2020): Determinants of adverse birth outcome in Tigrai region, North Ethiopia: Hospital-based case-control study
- Ukba Asefa and Wolde Melese Ayele<sup>•</sup> <sup>(2020)</sup>: Adverse Obstetrical and Perinatal Outcomes Among Advanced Age Pregnant Mothers in Northeast Ethiopia: A Comparative Cross-Sectional Study
- UN. (2019). Kenya country Factheet on Female reproductive age.
- UNFPA, 2021: Kenya Maternal Health. https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&cad=rja& uact=8&ved=2ahUKEwigkobttq\_6AhXqsaQKHREBAa0QFnoECAkQAQ&url=htt ps%3A%2F%2Fkenya.unfpa.org%2Fen%2Ftopics%2Fmaternal-health-16&usg=AOvVaw0ZVngbRzC5xFXKaWRGPSPn
- UNICEF. (2014): Nutrition: low birth weight. WHA Global Nutrition Targets 2025: Low Birth Weight Policy Brief.
- UNICEF. (2020): Maternal nutrition. Preventing malnutrition in pregnant and breastfeeding women.

- UNICEF. (2020): Maternal nutrition. Preventing malnutrition in pregnant and breastfeeding women.
- USAID. (2018): U.S. Government investment in Kisumu County.
- Usta IM, Nassar A. Part I (2008): obstetric complications. Advanced Maternal Age. 2008; 25(08):521–534.
- Vernon M, George W, Lucy Ny, Amyn L, Hajara El-B, Marleen T & Peter Gi. (2020): Religious, socio-cultural norms and gender stereotypes influence uptake and utilization of maternal health services among the Digo community in Kwale, Kenya: a qualitative study
- Vogel, JP, Chawanpaiboon, S, Watananirun, K. et al. (2016): Global, regional and national levels and trends of preterm birth rates for 1990 to 2014: protocol for development of World Health Organization estimates. Reprod Health 2016; 13: 76. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12978-016-0193-1
- WHO. (2016): Making every baby count: audit and review of stillbirths and neonatal deaths.
- WHO. (2018): Organization towards a Global Action Plan for Healthy Lives and Well-being for all uniting to accelerate progress towards the healthrelated SDGs. World Health Organization? 2018; 31 (https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/1066
- WHO. (2018): Preterm birth. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/preterm-birth
- WHO. (2019): Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2017. Estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division. Geneva: World Health Organization;
- WHO. (2021): New global targets to prevent maternal deaths
- WHO-China Joint Mission on COVID-19. (2019): Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019.
- Widdowson EM, McCance RA. (1975): A review: new thoughts on growth. *Pediatr Res.* 1975; 9:154–156. [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Ref list]
- Wigilya P. Mikomangwa, Minzi O, Eleni A & Appolinary A. R. Kamuhabwa. (2019): Adverse birth outcomes among mothers who received intermittent preventive treatment with Sulphadoxine-Pyrimethamine in the low malaria transmission region. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth volume
- WYe, W., Luo, C., Huang, J., Li, C., Liu, Z., & Liu, F. (2022). Gestational diabetes mellitus and adverse pregnancy outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmj*, 377, e067946. doi:10.1136/bmj-2021-067946
- World Health Organization. (2015): Trends in maternal mortality: 1990 to 2015. Geneva: WHO Press
- World Health Organization. (2019): Preterm and low birth weight infant. https://www.who.int/teams/maternal-newborn-child-adolescent-health-andageing/newborn-health/preterm-and-low-birth-weight
- Yeshialem E, Alemnew N, Abera M, Tesfay A. (2017): Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes among mothers who gave birth from Jan 1-Dec 31/2015 in Jimma

University Specialized Hospital, Case control study, 2016. Med Clin Rev. Vol. 3 No. 4: 22.

Yim, C., Wong, L., Cabalag, C., Wallace, E. M., & Davies-Tuck, M. (2017). Post-term surveillance and birth outcomes in South Asian-born compared with Australianborn women. *J Perinatol*, 37(2), 139-143. doi:10.1038/jp.2016.190

Zureick-Brown C, Wilmoth JR, Mizoguchi N, Et Al. (2012): State Politics and Policy Journal. N Method Deriv Global Estimates Mater Mortality. 2012; 3(2):2151–7509.1038.

#### **APPENDICES**

#### **APPENDIX I: Consent form**

#### Dear respondents,

My name is Mary Kuir, a student at Maseno University, study master's in public health, this procedure is for studies purpose and its one of the requirements for the award of the degree am undertaking research on, **Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes among Women Attending Antenatal Care Clinic in Public Health Facility at Nyando Sub-County, Kisumu Kenya.** The study will be treated with confidentiality and no one will get excess to it except my supervisors that will help me in data analysis, the procedures will be comparing of questions and recorded transcript to help in easy data entering and analysis.

I want to stress that your participation in this study is absolutely voluntary and you can decide to or not to participate or withdraw anytime without any negative repercussion, and by accepting to participate will need you to spare a few minutes of your time to respond to these questions which will be of great benefits on the academic purpose and to the government by making correct decision about individual and the whole country health outcomes.

I have consent form for your review. Please read the form and feel free to ask me any question about the study, it will be of great honor to answer any of your concerns and questions. And if you agree to participate, please sign, initial and indicate the date.

Thanks

Yours sincerely, Mary Kuir Kual Masters Student, Maseno University. Email: marykuir.malual@gmail.com

# APPENDIX II: MASENO UNIVERSITY ETHIC CONSIDERATION

In: 220 Go/301 B22 Ext: 3000       Philds Bag - 40°CS, Massimo, Konya Email: milero-secretaritis/egaraseino.ac.xet         REF:       MSU/DRPI/MUSERC/01241/23       Date: 1 <sup>ex</sup> August         TO:       Mary Kuir Kuol Malual       EStM07/391/019         Department of Public Health       School of Public Health       Date: 1 <sup>ex</sup> August         Department of Public Health       School of Public Health       Date: 1 <sup>ex</sup> August         Dear Madam.       P. D. Box, Private Bag, Maseno Kenya       Dear         Dear Madam.       Bet Detaminants of Adverse Pregnancy outcomes among Women attending Antenas Clinic in Public Health Facilities in Nyando Sub-County       This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Communeer is MUSERC/01241/23. The approval period is 1 <sup>ex</sup> August, 2023 – 31 <sup>ex</sup> July, 2024.         This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements:       I. Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/ used.         I. All changes including (amondments, doviations, and violations) are submitted for re approval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)         I. Death and life threatening problems and sericus adverse events or unexpected scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)         I. Death and life threatening problems and sericus adverse events or unexpected scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)         I. Death and life threatening problems and sericus adverse events or unexpected scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)	WASENO UNIVERSITYSCIE	INTIFIC AND ETHICS REVIEW
REF:       MSU/DRPI/MUSERC/01241/23       Date:       1 <sup>st</sup> August         Department of Public Health School of Public Health Mascino University P. O. Box, Private Bag, Maseno Kenya       Dear Madam,         REF:       Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy outcomes among Women attending Antena Clinic in Public Health Facilities in Nvando Sub-County         This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Co (MUSERC) has reviewed and approved your above research proposal. Your application number is MUSERC/01241723. The approval period is 1 <sup>st</sup> August, 2023 - 31 <sup>st</sup> July, 2024.         This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements;       I.         Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/ used.       I.         I.       Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/ used.         II.       Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)         III.       Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse the risks or affected weifare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Masseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.         V.       Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut weifare of a request for renewal of approval test 60 days prior to exp hours.         V.       Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institur weifare of a requect for renewal of approva	Te: +254 057 351 522 Ext: 3050 Fax: +254 057 361 221	Privato Bag - 40° 05, Masono, Konya Email: muero-secretariate@maseno.ac.ke
<ul> <li>TO: Mary Kuir Kuol Malual ESM/07/01/019 Department of Public Health School of Public Health and Community Development Mascino University P O Box, Private Bag, Maseno Kenya</li> <li>Dear Madam,</li> <li><u>RE: Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy outcomes among Women attending Antena Clinic in Public Health Facilities in Nyando Sub-County</u></li> <li>This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Co (MUSERC) has reviewed and approval your above research proposal. Your application number is MUSERC/01241/23. The approval period is 1" August, 2023 – 31" July, 2024.</li> <li>This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements;</li> <li>i. Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/ used.</li> <li>ii. All changes including (amendments, deviations, and violations) are submitted for re approval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Co unexpected to unexpected to unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno I Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>iii. Casth and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected to scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) within 24 hours of notification.</li> <li>iv. Any changes, anticipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>v. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institutivi. Submission of a request for merewal of approval at least 60 days prior to exp approval period. Attach a comprehensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>vi. Submission of an executive summary report within 90 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to ostain a research license from commission for Science, Technology and p</li></ul>	REF: MSU/DRPI/MUSERC/01241/23	Date: 1 <sup>st</sup> August, 203
<ul> <li>ESM07091/019</li> <li>Department of Public Health and Community Development Mascino University</li> <li>P. O. Box, Private Bag, Maseno, Kenya</li> <li>Dear Madam,</li> <li>RE: Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy outcomes among Women attending Antena Clinic in Public Health Facilities in Nyando Sub-County</li> <li>This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Co (MUSERC) has reviewed and approved your above research proposal. Your application number is MUSERC/01241/23. The approval period is 1" August, 2023 – 31" July, 2024.</li> <li>This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements;</li> <li>I. Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/ used.</li> <li>All changes including (amondments, deviations, and violations) are submitted for re approval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>III. Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno to Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) within 24 hours of influetion.</li> <li>IV. Any changes, anticipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected weifare of study participants end others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) inclus.</li> <li>V. Clearance for export of biological epocimens must be obtained from relevant institutivi Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to exp approval portical. Attach a comprehensive propries report to support the reneval.</li> <li>vii. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to exp approval portical. Attach a comprehensive propries report to support the reneval.</li> <li>vii. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to exp approval portical. Attach a comprehensive propries report to support the reneval.</li> <li>vii. Submis</li></ul>	TO: Mary Kuir Kuol Malual	
<ul> <li>School of Public Health and Community Development Masceno University P - D Rox, Private Bag, Maseno Kenya</li> <li>Dear Madam,</li> <li><u>RE: Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy outcomes among Women attending Antena Clinic in Public Health Facilities in Nyando Sub-County</u></li> <li>This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Co (MUSERC) has reviewed and approved your above research proposal. Your application number is MUSERC/01241/23. The approval period is 1<sup>rd</sup> August, 2023 – 31<sup>rd</sup> July, 2024.</li> <li>This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements;</li> <li>i. Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/ used.</li> <li>All changes including (amondments, deviations, and violations) are submitted for re approval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>iii. Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno 1 scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) in Any changes, anticipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>v. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institutivi Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to exp approval portiod. Attach a comprehensive progress report to subport the renewal.</li> <li>vii. Submission of a request for renewal of approval to gays upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to ocatin a research license from Commission for Science, Technology and Inservation ethics (SUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to oca</li></ul>	ESM/07091/019 Department of Public Health	
<ul> <li>Mascho University P. O. Box, Private Bag, Masseno, Kenya</li> <li>Dear Madam,</li> <li><u>RE: Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy outcomes among Women attending Antena Glinic in Public Health Facilities in Nyando Sub-County</u></li> <li>This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Co (MUSERC) has reviewed and approved your above research proposal. Your application number is MUSERC/01241/23. The approval period is 1° August, 2023 – 31° July, 2024.</li> <li>This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements; <ol> <li>Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/ used.</li> <li>All changes including (amendments, deviations, and violations) are submitted for re approval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Death and life threatening problems and sericus adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno I Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) within 24 hours of notification.</li> <li>May changes, antroipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institutivi Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 80 days prior to exp approval provide. Altach a comprichensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>Wie Submission of an executive summary report within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> </ol> </li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to optime an essentific and sense upon obtain other clearances meeded.</li> <li>Yours sincereix</li> </ul>	School of Public Health and Community	Development
<ul> <li>Dear Madam,</li> <li><u>RE: Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy outcomes among Women attending Antena Clinic in Public Health Facilities in Nyando Sub-County</u></li> <li>This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Communes in MUSERC) has reviewed and approved your above research proposal. Your application number is MUSERC/01241/23. The approval period is 1" August, 2023 – 31" July, 2024.</li> <li>This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements;         <ol> <li>Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/used.</li> <li>All changes including (amondments, doviations, and violations) are submitted for re approval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse the risks or affected welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institutivi. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to explay approval period. Attach a comprehensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>Submission of a nexecutive summary report within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> </ol></li></ul> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to ootain a research license from commission for Science. Technology and period within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li>	Maseno University	
<ul> <li>Dear Madam,</li> <li><u>RE: Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy outcomes among Women attending Antena Clinic in Public Health Facilities in Nyando Sub-County</u></li> <li>This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Counties MUSERC/ has reviewed and approval period is 1" August, 2023 – 31" July, 2024.</li> <li>This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements; <ol> <li>Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/used.</li> <li>All changes including (amondments, deviations, and violations) are submitted for reapproval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>Any changes, anticipants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to explapproval period. Attach a comprehensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>Submission of an executive summary report within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> </ol> </li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Science. Technology and period within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> </ul>	P. O. Box, Private Bag, Maseno, Kenya	
<ul> <li>Dear Madam.</li> <li>RE: Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy outcomes among Women attending Antena Clinic in Public Health Facilities in Nyando Sub-County</li> <li>This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Count (MUSERC) has reviewed and approved your above research proposal. Your application number is MUSERC/01241/23. The approval pariod is 1" August, 2023 – 31" July, 2024.</li> <li>This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements;         <ol> <li>Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/ used.</li> <li>All changes including (amondments, deviations, and violations) are submitted for relapproval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno Uscientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Any changes, anticipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institutivi. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to expla approval period. Attach a comprehensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>Submission of an executive summary report within 90 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> </ol></li></ul>		
<ul> <li>RE: Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy outcomes among Women attending Antena Clinic in Public Health Facilities in Nyando Sub-County</li> <li>This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Communes in MUSERC/ has reviewed and approval period is 1" August, 2023 – 31" July, 2024.</li> <li>This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements;         <ol> <li>Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/ used.</li> <li>All changes including (amondments, deviations, and violations) are submitted for reapproval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>Any changes, anticipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected welfare of study paticipants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institutivi. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to explanation of an executive summary report within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review (MUSERC).</li> </ol> </li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to octain a research increase from Commission for Science. Technology and period within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review COSTI hitse and Ethics necesil.go.ket obtain other clearances meeded.</li> </ul>	Dear Madam,	
<ul> <li>This is to inform you that Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Commuters (MUSERC) has reviewed and approved your above research proposal. Your application number is MUSERC/01241/23.The approval period is 1" August, 2023 – 31" July, 2024.</li> <li>This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements; <ol> <li>Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/used.</li> <li>All changes including (amondmonts, deviations, and violations) are submitted for reapproval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Any changes, ant cipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut</li> <li>Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to exp approval period. Attach a comprehensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>Submission of an executive summary report within 90 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> </ol></li></ul> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to optian a research license from Commission for Science, Technology and Insorting PLACOSTI) https://onis.nacost.go.ke</li> <li>Yours sincerery</li>	RE: Determinants of Adverse Pregnancy out Clinic in Public Health Facilities in Nyando S	comes among Women attending Antenatal C ub-County
<ul> <li>This approval is subject to compliance with the following requirements; <ol> <li>Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/used.</li> <li>All changes including (amondments, deviations, and violations) are submitted for reapproval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno I Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) within 24 hours of notification.</li> <li>Any changes, anticipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to expla approval period. Attach a comprisher progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>Submission for a executive stummary report within 90 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> </ol></li></ul>	This is to inform you that Maseno Univer (MUSERC) has reviewed and approved your a number is MUSERC/01241/23.The approval pe	sity Scientific and Ethics Review Commi- above research proposal. Your application appl riod is 1" August, 2023 – 31" July, 2024.
<ul> <li>i. Only approved documents including (informed consents, study instruments, MT/ used.</li> <li>ii. All changes including (amendments, deviations, and violations) are submitted for re approval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>iii. Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno I Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) within 24 hours of notification.</li> <li>iv. Any changes, anticipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>v. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to exp approval ported. Attach a comprichensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>vii. Submission of an executive summary report within 90 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science, Technology and Ipportant ethacoOSTI) https://oris.nacost.go.ke obtain other clearances needed.</li> </ul>	This approval is subject to compliance with the l	following requirements:
<ul> <li>used.</li> <li>ii. All changes including (amondments, deviations, and violations) are submitted for reapproval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>iii. Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno I Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) within 24 hours of notification.</li> <li>iv. Any changes, anticipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected weifare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>v. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institutivi. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to explay approval poried. Attach a comprehensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>vii. Submission of an executive summary report within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review (MUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science, Technology and Insortian Ethics Review (SCI) hitting Voris nacost go. ke obtain other clearances needed.</li> </ul>	i. Only approved documents including (in	formed consents, study instruments, MTA) wi
<ul> <li>an characteristic and characteristic and characteristic and characteristic and characteristic approval by Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC)</li> <li>iii. Death and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno I Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) within 24 hours of notification.</li> <li>iv. Any changes, anticipants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) within 24 hours of notification.</li> <li>iv. Any changes, anticipants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>v. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institutivi. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to explain approval period. Attach a comprehensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>vii. Submission of an executive summary report within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review (MUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science. Technology and peopletion ethics necesil.go.ke obtain other clearances needed.</li> </ul>	used.	dations and up disary are scheduled for ender
<ul> <li>Desth and life threatening problems and serious adverse events or unexpected events whether related or unrelated to the study must be reported toMaseno I Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) within 24 hours of notification.</li> <li>iv. Any changes, anticipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>v. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institutivi. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to explain approval period. Attach a comprohensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>vii. Submission of an executive summary report within 90 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review (MUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science. Technology and paperolation ethics necessing when ethics necessing ethics necessing the relevant study.</li> <li>Yours sincerely</li> </ul>	approval by Maseno University Scientific	and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).
<ul> <li>iv. Any changes, anticipated or otherwise that may increase the risks or affected welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>v. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to explanation of an executive summary report within 90 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> <li>vii. Submission of an executive summary report within 90 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science, Technology and Insorting PLACOSTI) https://oris.nacost.go.ke.obtain.obtain.commission.com/ethics.nacost.go.ke.obtain.commission.com/ethics.nacost.go.ke.obtain.com/ethin.com/ethics.n</li></ul>	<li>iii. Death and life threatening problems a events whether related or unrelated to Scientific and Ethics Review Committee</li>	nd serious adverse events or unexpected adv i the study must be reported toMaseno Unive (MUSERC) within 24 hours of notification.
<ul> <li>welfare of study participants and others or affect the integrity of the research reported to Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) hours.</li> <li>v. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut vi. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to explanation of an executive summary report within 90 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science, Technology and Insortiation MACOSTI) https://oris.nacost.go.ke.obtain.other.clearances.needed.</li> </ul>	 iv. Any changes, anticipated or otherwise	that may increase the risks or affected safe
V. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut V. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut V. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut V. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institut V. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to exp approval period. Attach a comprehensive progress report to support the renewal. Vii. Submission of an executive summary report within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC). Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science, Technology and Ipportation ethicOSTI) https://oris.nacosti.go.ke obtain other clearances needed.	welfare of study participants and othe reported to Maseno University Scientific	rs or affect the integrity of the research mus and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC) withi
<ul> <li>V. Clearance for export of biological specimens must be obtained from relevant institutivity.</li> <li>Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to explanation of a security of the submission of an executive summary report within 90 days upon completion of the Masceno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science. Technology and percentian ethics Review Commission for science, technology and percentian ethics Review Commission for science.</li> <li>Yours sincerely</li> </ul>	hours.	and Ethics Review Committee (MODERC) with
<ul> <li>vi. Submission of a request for renewal of approval at least 60 days prior to exp approval period. Attach a comprehensive progress report to support the renewal.</li> <li>vii. Submission of an executive summary report within 90 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC).</li> <li>Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science. Technology and Insoration (NACOSTI) https://oris.nacosti.go.ke obtain other clearances needed.</li> <li>Yours sincersity</li> </ul>	v. Clearance for export of biological specin	nens must be obtained from relevant institutions.
vii. Submission of an executive summary report within 30 days upon completion of the Maseno University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC). Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science, Technology and theorem (ACOSTI) https://oris.naicost.go.ke obtain other clearances needed. Yours sincerely	<ul> <li>vi. Submission of a request for renewal ( approval period. Attach a comprehensive</li> </ul>	or approval at least 60 days prior to expiry of c progress report to support the renewal
Masono University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee (MUSERC). Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science, Technology and Insortation (NACOSTI) https://oris.nacost.go.ke obtain other clearances needed. Yours sincerely	vii. Submission of an executive summary re	eport within 30 days upon completion of the stur
Prior to commencing your study, you will be expected to obtain a research license from Commission for Science, Technology and Insortation eN/COSTI) https://oris.nacosti.go.ke obtain other clearances needed.	 Maseno University Scientific and Ethics	Review Committee (MUSERC).
Commission for Science. Technology and Ipperfilter EVCOSTI) https://oris.nacost.go.ke obtain other cleanances needed. Yours sincerely	Prior to commencing your study, you will be e	expected to obtain a research license from Nat
Yours sincerely	Commission for Science, Technology and Ippo	valign (NACOSTI) https://oris.nacosti.go.ke and
Yours sincerely	obtain other clearances needed.	A COM
	Yours sincerely	sa san i sa 1/2/
1 0 1 AUG 2023 121	1 01	AUG 2023 111
Prof. Philip O. Owuor, PhD, FAAS, FKNAS /2//		

# APPENDIX III: NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY & INNOVATION



## **APPENDIX I V: QUESTIONNAIRE SECTION I. Socio demographic characteristic**

# 1.1.**Age**

a) <15 year []</li>
b) 16 - 35 years []
c) >35 years []

# 1.2.**Sex**

- a) Male ()
- b) Female ()

# 1.3.Education

- a) Elementary ()
- b) Secondary school ()
- c) Tertiary ()

# 1.4. Religion

a) Which religion do you belong? .....

# 1.5. Marital status

- b) Single ()
- c) Married ()
- d) Divorce ( )
- e) Widow ( )

## 1.6.**Income**

- a) <5000()
- b) 5,000 10,000 ( )
- c) 10,000 15,000 ( )
- d) 15,000 20,000 ( )
- e) 20,000 25,000 ( )

# **SECTION II: Obstetric factors information**

1.1. Have any of your family members had the following diseases

1.2. Gestational Diabetes Mellitus

- a) YES [ ]
- b) NO[]

1.3. Hypertensive Disorder of Pregnancy

- a) YES [ ]
- b) NO [ ]
- 1.4. Postpartum Hemorrhage
  - a) YES [ ]
  - b) NO [ ]

#### 1.4.1. Have you ever had any of the above mentioned diseases?

- a) YES [ ]
- b) NO []
- 1.5. Any history of twins in your family
  - a) Yes [ ]
  - b) No [ ]

1.6 Have you ever had any of the below

- a) Pre-term birth [ ]
- b) Still birth [ ]
- c) Low birth weight [ ]
- d) Abortion [ ]

## **SECTION III: Cultural factors information**

1.1.	Are	there	special	foods	for	pregnant	women	in	your
	society?.	•••••						•••••	
	•••••								

- 1.2. Do you smoke?
  - a) YES [ ]
  - b) NO [ ]

1.3. Whom do you prefer to conduct your deliveries?

- a) TBAs? []
- b) Skilled Health practitioners? [ ]
- 1.4. Where do you prefer to give birth?
  - a) Your house [ ]
  - b) Health facility [ ]
- 1.5. Do you think there are food that harm the unborn baby?
  - a) YES [ ]
  - b) NO []

If YES, please

explai	n	 	 	 	 	 	••••	 	 ••••	 •••	 	••••	• • • •	•••	 	 •••	

- 1.6. Do you drink alcohol?
  - a) Yes [ ]
  - b) No [ ]

1.7. Does anyone have to tell you when and when not to go hospital?

- a) Yes [ ]
- b) No [ ]
- 1.8. Who is to decide the number of children you suppose to have in the household?
  - a) My husband [ ]
  - b) Myself []
  - c) Both of you []

#### SECTION IV. Socio-economic information 1.1. Residential

- a) Rental [ ]
- b) Husband/boyfriend [ ]
- c) parents [ ]

#### **1.2. Household Incomes**

- 1. What is your total household income per month in KEH?
  - a) < 5,000 [ ]
  - b) 5,000 20,000 [ ]
  - c) 20,000 40,000 [ ]
  - d) 40,000 60,000 [ ]
  - e) 60,000 80,000 [ ]
  - f) 80,000 100,000 [ ]
  - g) >100,000 [ ]
- 2. Do you have income from any sources other than salary?
  - a) YES [ ]
  - b) NO []
- 3. List all sources of your income?

.....

#### **1.3. Occupational Status**

- 1. What is your highest level of education?
  - a) No Education [ ]
  - b) Elementary school [ ]
  - c) Primary school []
  - d) Secondary school [ ]
  - e) Tertiary school [ ]
- 2. Are you working?
  - a) YES [ ]
  - b) NO[]
- 3. Are you a professional personnel?
  - a) YES [ ]

# b) NO [ ]

# SECTION V. Antenatal care visit information

1.1.Have you ever heard of ANC?

- a) YES [ ]
- b) NO[]

## 1.2. How many ANC visit do you know?

- a) 1<sup>st</sup>[]
- b) 2<sup>nd</sup> []
- c) 3<sup>rd</sup> [ ]
- d) 4<sup>th</sup> [ ]
- e) All the above [ ]
- f) None [ ]

## 1.3.How many ANC visit have you ever had?

- a) 1<sup>st</sup> []
- b) 2<sup>nd</sup>[]
- c) 3<sup>rd</sup> []
- d) 4<sup>th</sup> []
- e) None [ ]

## **SECTION VI. Focus group discussion**

I.	Why do pregnant women have to come to
	hospital?
II.	Has anyone ever heard of an Obstetrics conditions and what the
	are?
III.	Does age matter in pregnancy, if yes
	why?

IV.	How important is community decision to a pregnant woman?
V.	Do you agree with what the community say about your ANC visit and hospital deliveries?
VI.	How important is it, being educated and not educated?
VII.	How does income determine safe delivering?
Does it make a why?	any difference with adverse pregnancy outcomes, being a single mother or married,

# APPENDIX V: Map of Nyando sub-county Kisumu, Kenya



**County Health Facility Distribution by Type COUNTY OF KISUMU** 

SOURCE: MASTER FACILITY LIST (MFL) www.ehealth.go.ke

Prepared by USAID AfyaInfo Project (c) 2013

# APPENDIX VI: Map of Nyando District. (USAID, 2018)



County Health Facility Distribution by Type COUNTY OF KISUMU

SOURCE: MASTER FACILITY LIST (MFL) www.ehealth.go.ke

Prepared by USAID AfyaInfo Project (c) 2013